

BEFORE THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

IN THE MATTER OF A
MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR
OF ARIZONA

DAVID S. GINGRAS
Bar No. 021097,

Respondent.

PDJ 2026-9010

ORDER RE: MOTION
TO STRIKE

[State Bar File Nos. 24-
1692, 24-1826, 24-2483, 24-
2819, 24-3080 and 25-1230]

FILED APRIL 27, 2026

The Presiding Disciplinary Judge (“PDJ”) has considered the State Bar’s Motion to Strike Respondent’s *Motion to Dismiss and, Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment* (“Motion”) filed April 20, 2026; the Respondent’s Response to Motion to Strike (“Response”) filed April 24, 2026; and the State Bar’s Notice of No Reply to Respondent’s *Response to Motion to Strike* (“Notice”) filed April 27, 2026. Given no objection has been made to the PDJ’s stated inclination on this Motion, as reflected in the order filed April 23, 2026, the PDJ proceeds with the following order,

IT IS ORDERED suspending the response requirement for the State Bar on all portions of the Respondent’s Motion to Dismiss and, Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment until the PDJ issues ruling as to whether Respondent has established *prima facie* proof on the Motion to Dismiss.

If the PDJ finds *prima facie* proof the order will address the State Bar’s need to file a response per ARS § 12-751(B) and will hold the Motion for Summary Judgment in abeyance until resolution of the Motion to Dismiss.

If the PDJ denies the Motion to Dismiss, the order will provide a time frame from the State Bar’s response to the Motion for Summary Judgment.

DATED this 27th day of April, 2026.

Lisa A. VandenBerg
Hon. Lisa A. VandenBerg
Presiding Disciplinary Judge

Copy of the foregoing emailed
this 27th day of April, 2026, to:

David S. Gingras (Respondent)

[REDACTED]

Marc J. Randazza

[REDACTED]

Respondent's Counsel

James D. Lee (Senior Bar Counsel)

Craig Henley (Senior Bar Counsel)

[REDACTED]

by: CLopez