

BEFORE THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF
THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,

DAVID S. GINGRAS

Bar No. 021097

Respondent.

No. PDJ 2026-9010

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
EXCEED PAGE LIMITS**

Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a)(3) (incorporated here by Supreme Court Rule 48(b)) provides a 17-page limit for responses to motions. Respondent David S. Gingras (“Respondent” or “Gingras”) respectfully moves the Court for leave to file a brief which exceeds this limit by five (5) additional pages. The pleading in question is Respondent’s brief in opposition to the state bar Motion for Partial Judgment on the Pleadings.

Respondent is keenly aware over-length briefs are burdensome to the Court and the parties, and absent good cause, parties should limit their arguments to the 17-page limit established by the rules. Here, good cause exists to permit a small number of additional pages because: 1.) This case is *exceptionally* complicated; 2.) this case arises from an underlying family court case which was long, complicated, and involved multiple related actions (as explained in the response brief); 3.) this case is still in its infancy, and the PDJ is not yet familiar with the complete background history of the matter which thus required an additional discussion of the case; and 4.) the state bar’s motion seeks to terminate this matter on the pleadings *before* the record has been fully developed.

On that last point, the rules are clear – when a party attempts to resolve a case on the pleadings, but a fair discussion of the case requires consideration of matters outside the pleadings, the court is required to convert the motion under Rule 56. In that case, the rule requires: “All parties must be given a reasonable opportunity to present all the material that is pertinent to the motion.” Ariz. R. Civ. P. 12(d).

To comply with that standard, a small number of additional pages were necessary to ensure the Court has all the information necessary to decide the motion correctly. Every effort was made to do this within the 17-page limit of Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a)(3), but that proved to be simply impossible.

For those reasons, good cause exists to permit Respondent to file a brief which exceeds the page limits by five (5) additional pages.

Respectfully submitted March 18, 2026.



David S. Gingras, #021097
Gingras Law Office, PLLC

████████████████████
Phoenix, AZ 85044

Tel.: ██████████
████████████████████

Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

COPY of the foregoing emailed
this 18th day of March 2026 to:
Jim Lee

[REDACTED]

Craig Henley

[REDACTED]

Senior Bar Counsel



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Dudley", is written over a horizontal line.