

CLERK OF THE
SUPERIOR COURT
FILED
J. FIERRO, DEP.

<p style="text-align: center;">Laura Owens</p> <hr/> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Gregory Gillespie</p> <hr/> <p>Defendant</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">FN2024052375 25</p> <hr/> <p>Case No.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">JAN -8 AM 9:53</p> <hr/> <p>DECLARATION OF SERVICE OPIAH/IAWH Issue Date 12/06/2024</p>
---	--	--

**USE THIS FORM ONLY IF YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO SERVE LEGAL PAPERS
UNDER ARCP 4 OR ARS § 13-3602(K).**

The undersigned, being first duly qualified by law, swears or certifies that a copy of the following was personally served on Defendant on **1/7/2025 10:50:00 AM** at the following location:

4201 North Marshall Way Scottsdale AZ 85251

(address where Defendant was served)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petition and Order of Protection (OP) | <input type="checkbox"/> Modified (OP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petition and Injunction Against Harassment (IAH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Modified (IAH) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petition and Injunction Against Workplace Harassment (IAWH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Modified (IAWH) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hearing | |

Plaintiff has been advised of service Yes No

Declarant is a registered process server peace/correction/detention officer authorized by the court

David Sheets	Maricopa County Sheriff's Office	S0827
Print: Name	Agency	Identification No.

Charges*: Services \$ 0 Mileage \$ 0 Fees Paid \$ 0 Total \$ 0

**No charges/fees for any OP or for any IAH that arises from a dating relationship or sexual violence.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct pursuant to ARCP 80(c).*

/s/ David Sheets	01/07/2025
Signature of Person Serving Document (Declarant)	Date

Defendant description:

Sex	Race	DOB	HT	WT	Eyes	Hair	Driver's License #	State	Distinguishing Features
M		12/30/1985	604	220	GRN	BRN			

TRIAL COURTS OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY
Superior Court of Arizona/AZ007035J/0700 18380 N. 40th St Phoenix, AZ 85032
Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00

ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED

<p>Order of Protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Amended Order</p>	<p>Case No. FN2024-052375</p> <p>Court ORI No. AZ007035J</p> <p>County Maricopa State AZ</p>
---	--

PLAINTIFF

Laura	Owens	
First	Middle	Last

PLAINTIFF IDENTIFIERS

5/14/1990
Plaintiff's Date of Birth

And on behalf of any minor family member or other Protected Person listed below:

v.

DEFENDANT

Gregory	Gillespie	
First	Middle	Last

DEFENDANT IDENTIFIERS

SEX	RACE	DOB	HT	WT
Male	White	[REDACTED]	6'4"	[REDACTED]
EYES	HAIR	Arizona Prohibits Release of Social Security Numbers		
Green	Brown			
DRIVER'S LICENSE #	STATE	EXP DATE		
		12:00:00 AM		
<input type="checkbox"/> Estimated Date of Birth				

Defendant/Plaintiff Relationship: We have or had a romantic or sexual relationship

[REDACTED]

CAUTION: Weapon Alleged in Petition

WARNINGS TO DEFENDANT: This order shall be enforced, even without registration, by the courts of any state, the District of Columbia, any U.S. Territory, and may be enforced by Tribal Lands (18 U.S.C. § 2265). Crossing state, territorial or tribal boundaries to violate this order may result in federal imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 2262). Because of this order, it may be unlawful for you to possess or purchase a firearm or ammunition pursuant to federal law under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) or state, tribal, territorial, or local law. If you have any questions whether these laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an attorney.

Only the Court, in writing, can change this order.

Any order served on or after 9/24/2022 is in effect for two years from date of service.
 Any order served before 9/24/2022 is in effect for one year from date of service.

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS THAT:

It has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter.

Defendant received actual notice of this Hearing and had an opportunity to participate.

THE COURT, finding reasonable cause to believe that Defendant may commit an act of domestic violence or has committed an act of domestic violence within the past year (or good cause exists to consider a longer period), **HEREBY ORDERS:**

- NO CRIMES.** Defendant shall not commit any crimes, including but not limited to harassment, stalking, or conduct involving the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury, against Plaintiff or Protected Persons.
- NO CONTACT.** Defendant shall have no contact with Plaintiff except through attorneys, legal process, court hearings, and as checked: Phone Electronic (email, text, etc.) Mail Other:

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:

- RESIDENCE.** Plaintiff is granted exclusive use and possession of the residence currently shared with Defendant.
- LAW ENFORCEMENT STANDBY.** Defendant may return once with a law enforcement officer to obtain necessary personal belongings. Neither law enforcement nor this protective order can resolve conflicts over property, title, furniture, finances, real estate, or other ownership issues.
- PROTECTED LOCATIONS.** Defendant shall not go to or near Plaintiff's or other Protected Person's:
 - Residence (confidential)

- Workplace:
- School:
- Other:

• Address
Protected

- ARIZONA FIREARMS LAW.** Under A.R.S. § 13-3602(G)(4), the court finds that Defendant poses a credible threat to the physical safety of Plaintiff or Protected Persons. Therefore, Defendant shall not possess, receive, or purchase firearms and shall surrender same within 24 hours of service to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office or other local law enforcement agency.
- ANIMALS.** Plaintiff is granted the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal that is owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the plaintiff, the defendant, or a minor child residing in the residence or household of the plaintiff or the defendant. Defendant is ordered to stay away from the animal and shall not take, transfer, encumber, conceal, commit an act of cruelty or neglect in violation of section 13-2910, or otherwise dispose of the animal.

OTHER ORDERS:

No contact included no contact from third parties or via social media.



12/6/2024
Date

Judicial Officer

Joanna Reihing
Printed Name

WARNING: This is an official court order. If you disobey this order, you may be arrested and prosecuted for the crime of interfering with judicial proceedings and any other crime you may have committed in disobeying this order.

NOTICE: If you disagree with this order, you have the right to request a hearing, which will be held within 5 to 10 business days after your written request has been filed in the court that issued this order. Violations of this order should be reported to a law enforcement agency, not the court. Each party must notify this court if an action for dissolution (divorce), separation, annulment or paternity/maternity is filed. This is NOT a parenting time (visitation) or custody (legal decision-making) order. You must file those requests separately in Superior Court.

ADDITIONAL WARNINGS TO DEFENDANT: Nothing the plaintiff does can stop, change, or undo this Order without the court's written approval. You must appear in court to ask a judge to change (modify) or dismiss (quash) this Order.

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF: If this order gives you exclusive use and possession of the residence and you move out while this order is in effect, you must notify the court within five days of moving out of the residence.

12/05/2024 1:20PM
MD Deputy

Superior Court of Arizona/AZ007035J/0700 18380 N. 40th St Phoenix, AZ 85032

Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Employer-Plaintiff if Workplace Injunction Laura Owens	Defendant Gregory Gillespie	Case No. FN2024-052375
<input type="checkbox"/> On behalf of minor/person in need of protection named:	Defendant's address [REDACTED]	PETITION for: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order of Protection <input type="checkbox"/> Injunction Against Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> Workplace Injunction
Agent's name (if Workplace Injunction)	Defendant's birth date [REDACTED]	
	Defendant's phone	

This is NOT a court order.
This public hearing Plaintiff's allegations and requests. To see what the court has ordered, see Order form

DIRECTIONS: Please read the Plaintiff's Guide Sheet before filling out this form.

1. **Defendant/Plaintiff Relationship** (Choose the options that best describe your relationship to the defendant. *If you are applying on behalf of another person, choose the relationship between the other person and the defendant)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Married (past or present) | <input type="checkbox"/> Related as parent, grandparent, child, grandchild, brother, sister (or in-law/step) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Live/lived together as intimate partners | <input type="checkbox"/> Live/lived together but not as intimate partners |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parent of a child in common | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> One party is pregnant by the other | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romantic or sexual relationship (past or present) | |

2. If checked, Defendant and I have a pending action involving maternity, paternity, annulment, legal separation, dissolution, custody, parenting time, or support in ___ County Superior Court, Case # ___.

3. Name of court, if any, in which any other protective order related to this conduct has been filed.
Court name _____ Case # _____

4. Tell the judge what happened and why you need this order. PRINT both the dates and a brief description of what happened. If there is a contested hearing, a judge can consider only what you write here.

NOTE: Defendant will receive a copy of this petition when the order is served.

Approx. Date	(Do not write on back or in the margin. Attach additional paper if necessary.)
9/27/2023	On September 28, 2023, a Reddit user under the handle [REDACTED] posted an order of protection against me, one that Greg Gillespie obtained in August 2021, just a day after I filed a civil lawsuit against him for abortion coercion. This order was never served, meaning it was never legally in effect. According to Manny Vargas, the clerk at Dreamy Draw Justice Court, only Greg would have had access to this unserved document—not even I, as the named party, could have obtained it directly. Manny confirmed, in a recorded phone call on October 3, 2023, that Greg had not only picked up a paper copy of this order in person but had also requested that it be emailed to him. This timeline is significant because the post appeared on Reddit the same day that Greg collected the document from the court, demonstrating a clear chain of events. Greg's own admission to the police that he collected the document aligns directly with the Reddit post appearing shortly thereafter, indicating he was either directly involved in or indirectly facilitated its leak. This action—retrieving the document, then either posting it himself or allowing it to be posted publicly—is not an isolated incident but part of a broader pattern of harassment, where Greg

	<p>continually seeks ways to harm my reputation and cause me distress. By ensuring that the document was posted online, he engaged in conduct that is unquestionably intended to intimidate, embarrass, and harm my standing. This act runs counter to the "No Crimes" and "No Contact" clauses of our order, as it is a clear attempt to circumvent direct communication while still causing reputational harm. Furthermore, the nature of this act—distributing a document he knew was unserved and therefore invalid—suggests an intention to mislead and malign, aligning with a pattern of indirect harassment meant to disrupt my life.</p>
11/5/2024	<p>On October 6, 2023, I obtained an order of protection against Clayton Echard (case number FC2023-052114). Just weeks later, on November 2, 2023, Mr. Echard obtained an Injunction Against Harassment against me (case number CV2023-053952). During the pre-issuance continuance hearing for this injunction, Mr. Gillespie attended the court session, ostensibly to "support Clayton"—a man he had no prior relationship with until I filed a paternity case against Mr. Echard. Gillespie's presence was undeniably strategic; he appeared there with no legitimate reason, clearly expecting I would be present, hoping his appearance would shock and unsettle me. He had absolutely no connection to the case and was not a witness or involved party, which makes his attendance, purely and simply, an attempt to intimidate me. This behavior is a clear violation of the order of protection I hold against him, as it constitutes an intentional act to confront or intimidate me. His actions reflect a blatant disregard for the terms of the order, as he appeared at a place he anticipated I would be, solely to cause distress. Although I was able to attend virtually due to a last-minute decision by the judge on the morning of the hearing, it is evident that Gillespie presumed he would encounter me there.</p>
7/25/2024	<p>As part of his ongoing harassment, Greg Gillespie has publicly leaked private emails, text messages, and allegedly recorded phone calls. These private communications have been featured in YouTube videos by content creators such as Dave Neal and Dr. Heinz, where they are used to scrutinize and disparage me. Gillespie's decision to release personal conversations publicly is a clear violation of the protective order. The order explicitly prohibits direct or indirect contact and any behavior that could reasonably cause harassment or distress. By ensuring that my private interactions are broadcasted to a wide audience, Gillespie is clearly using these leaks as a means to intimidate, embarrass, and provoke emotional harm. This is indirect harassment designed to discredit me and cause ongoing distress, and it clearly disregards the protective order's terms, which were put in place to prevent precisely this kind of intimidation. Gillespie's repeated and public exposure of my private communications reveals a clear intent to harm and manipulate my reputation, turning what should be protected, private exchanges into tools for harassment. His actions demonstrate a blatant disregard for the order's purpose of safeguarding my privacy and well-being.</p>
1/10/2024	<p>Gillespie has made public statements on his Instagram account under the username [REDACTED]. In one particularly alarming post, he wrote, "Stop this woman! No one should cave to her harassment, she is DANGEROUS." This statement, publicly labeling me as "dangerous" and accusing me of harassment, serves no purpose other than to harm my reputation and incite fear and distrust among those who see it. By publicly characterizing me in this way, Gillespie is actively attempting to influence others' perceptions and create a hostile environment for me. Such language—calling me "dangerous" and accusing me of "harassment"—is intended to provoke others to act against me, effectively encouraging third parties to "stop" me. This kind of inflammatory statement goes beyond personal opinion or commentary; it is a calculated effort to portray me as a threat, encouraging others to engage in or support his campaign of harassment. This behavior directly violates the terms of the protective order, which prohibits indirect contact and any actions that would reasonably cause me distress, harm, or harassment. By spreading false and harmful narratives about me on a public platform, Gillespie is engaging in a form of indirect harassment, using his social media presence to harm my reputation and provoke fear. This post illustrates a continued pattern of intimidation, as Gillespie is not merely airing grievances but actively attempting to harm my standing in the community and incite further hostility. His actions represent a blatant disregard for the protective order, which is meant to prevent precisely these types of aggressive, public displays intended to intimidate and cause emotional harm.</p>
8/4/2024	<p>On August 4, 2024, I received an email from [REDACTED] informing me that a woman named [REDACTED] of [REDACTED], an associate of content creator Dave Neal, had obtained "intel" about me directly from Greg Gillespie. According to the email, this information was shared with the assistance of a woman named [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] and was specifically intended to harm my reputation through wider dissemination. This demonstrates that Gillespie has actively collaborated with others to share private or harmful information about me, with the apparent goal of exacerbating the distress and damage caused by his actions. Gillespie's actions are not protected speech under the First Amendment, as they serve no legitimate public interest and are expressly intended to cause harm, contrary to the restrictions of the protective order. The order prohibits not</p>

	only direct contact but also indirect actions reasonably expected to cause distress, harassment, or harm. By enlisting third parties to disseminate private information with the intent to discredit and intimidate me, Gillespie is actively circumventing the court's restrictions. This calculated effort reflects a clear and ongoing disregard for the boundaries set by the court. The involvement of third parties in these actions exacerbates the harm and creates a wider circle of hostility. This is not lawful expression but targeted harassment intended to intimidate and cause reputational damage, violating the protective order. Gillespie's behavior is a direct attempt to use others to achieve what he is forbidden to do himself, further demonstrating his intent to undermine the legal protections afforded to me.
2/22/2024	On February 22, 2024, Greg Gillespie attended a court hearing related to my paternity case with Clayton Echard. Gillespie had no legitimate involvement in the case or reason to be present in the courtroom. According to an article published by The Arizona Republic on the same date, Gillespie attended the hearing as an observer and declined an interview afterward. His presence at this sensitive proceeding was clearly intended to intimidate and distress me, knowing that his attendance would cause discomfort and emotional harm. At the time of this hearing, Gillespie was not listed as a witness in any capacity; this designation only occurred later, on March 29, 2024. His attendance on February 22 had no connection to any legitimate legal obligation and was solely an act of harassment. This behavior directly violates the protective order, which prohibits indirect harassment and any actions reasonably expected to cause me distress.
12/8/2023	I have had two orders of protection against Gillespie, one served on November 15, 2021, that was in effect for one year (FN2021-004799), and one that was served on December 8, 2022, that was in effect for two years (FN2022-05211).

5. The following persons should also be on this order. They should be protected because Defendant is a danger to them:

6. Defendant should be ordered to stay away from these locations at all times, even when I am not present.
NOTE: Do not list confidential addresses here.

- Residence (confidential)
- Work/Business
- School/other

7. Defendant owns or carries a firearm or other weapons.

Defendant should be ordered NOT to possess firearms while this order is in effect because of the risk of harm to me or other protected persons.

8. Defendant should be ordered to stay away from any animal that is owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by me, Defendant, or a minor child living in either my household or Defendant's household.

9. Other requests: 1. No indirect contact through third parties or online forums. 2. Prohibit him from sharing police reports or court filings related to me. 3. Ban on sharing my personal information. 4. No interaction with my online profiles. 5. No surveillance or tracking. 6. No mentions of me in harassment forums.;

Under penalty of perjury, I swear or affirm the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge, and I request an Order / Injunction granting relief as allowed by law.

/s/ Laura Owens
Plaintiff

Attest:



Judicial Officer/Clerk/Notary

12/06/2024
Date