State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 24-265
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

January 17, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge conducted an independent investigation and discussed a case with a family member.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 17, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-265

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
I currently represent who is the Petitioner in a case entitled v. This case is extremely high profile due to the Repondent, being a former TV celebrity who appeared on
As explained in the attached Notice of Change of Judge For Cause, I have evidence which proves the trial judge assigned to this matter, Hon. Violated Rules 2.9(A) and 2.9(C) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, among other rules.
Specifically, there is clear and irrefutable proof showing that Judge conducted an independent investigation into the facts of the case, and following a bench trial on she issued a ruling (filed in which some of her factual "findings" were not based on any evidenced admitted at trial. Rather, there is no question the judge made factual findings based on comments copied from social media websites regarding this case. At no time did Judge ever disclose the fact that she had conducted an independent investigation into the facts of this case to the parties or to counsel.
Second, due to the extremely high-profile nature of this case, the trial was attended by at least 100 spectators. As explained in the affidavit submitted in support of my Notice of Change of Judge, after the trial many spectators reported (on video) that Judge personally attended the trial, and at least one participant claimed that told them he had discussed the facts of this case with and this individual claimed (again, on video) that told him Judge printed out documents from this case and shared them with
Upon hearing this information, recorded on video in the parking lot after the trial, one spectator urged others not to repeat these comments because: "
Based on this information, I have filed a Notice of Change of Judge for Cause, and I will be filign additional motions seeking to vacate Judge ruling based on her misconduct.
My client, has been EXTREMELY traumatized by these events, and by Judge allous disregard for her rights. will agree to provide any additional information regarding this matter, and will cooperate in any investigation the Commission deems appropriate.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
COMPLAI	INT AGAINST A JUI	OGE
Name:	Judge's Name:	•
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper words what you believe the judge did that on names, dates, times, and places that will hely may be attached along with copies (not origin the paper only, and keep a copy of the complain	constitutes judicial misc p the commission under nals) of relevant court do	onduct. Be specific and list all of the stand your concerns. Additional page

		Filing	ID	
1				
2				
3	Tel.:			
4				
5	Attorney for Petitioner			
6				
7	COUNTY	V CUDEDIAD COUDT		
8	COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF ARIZONA			
9	STATE OF	ARIZUNA		
10		C		
11	In Re Matter of:	Case No:		
12	,	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF FOR CAUSE; MEMORANI		
13	Petitioner,	AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT	JUNI &	
14	And	(Noticed Judge – Hon.)	
15		, o	,	
16	,	(Presiding Judge – Hon.)	
17	Respondent.			
18	Pursuant to Rule 6.1 Ariz. R. Fam	. L.P. Petitioner	(" " or	
19	"Petitioner") submits the following Notice	ce of Change of Judge for	Cause, and	
20	memorandum and affidavit in support thereo	f.		
21	As explained below, there is clear	and convincing evidence demo	onstrating the	
22	judge currently assigned to this matter – Hor	. – is biased, pr	rejudiced, and	
23	has engaged in conduct which violates both	right to due process	of law under	
24	both the United States and Arizona Constit	utions, and which separately v	iolated Rules	
25	2.9(A) and 2.9(C) of the Arizona Rules of Ju	ndicial Conduct by, inter alia: 1	.) performing	
26	an independent investigation into the facts of	this case; 2.) considering (and	relying upon)	
27	information posted on the Internet about	this case; and 3.) engaging	in ex parte	
28	communications regarding this case with her			

1 This conduct, while sufficient to warrant additional other relief (including, but not 2 limited to, a new trial), establishes grounds to disqualify Judge on the basis of bias 3 and prejudice within the meaning of A.R.S. § 12–409(5). For these reasons, respectfully requests the Family Court Presiding Judge, Hon. review this 4 5 matter and to find that grounds exist to disqualify Judge , and to promptly reassign 6 this matter to a new judge. 7 In the event Judge disputes the allegations set forth below, requests 8 that the Family Court Presiding Judge set this matter for an evidentiary hearing pursuant 9 to Family Law Rule 6.1(d)(2), and that upon doing so, the Court approve the issuance of 10 subpoenas ad testificandum to Judge and her 11 I. CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND 12 The facts of this matter are set forth in detail in the affidavit of counsel submitted 13 herewith. In short, this case began as a simple paternity establishment action, with one (" 14 uncommon wrinkle — Respondent " or "Respondent") is a 15 minor celebrity as a result of his appearance on 16 did not merely appear as a , he was the star 17 of his season, appearing on the show from 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	claims she had a one-night sexual encounter with in on
2	, and she learned she was pregnant 11 days later. claims she tested
3	positive for pregnancy on <u>five separate occasions</u> before this case was filed: ,
4	and The first test taken on was an at-home
5	type pregnancy test which was positive. The next day, on went to a
6	for a professional pregnancy test. The test at was also positive.
7	After informed of these positive tests, on
8	invited to his home to discuss the situation. Upon arrival, surprised
9	with a home pregnancy test he had purchased, and he demanded she take the test
10	immediately in front of him claims she took the test as watched, while
11	claims she went to the bathroom and took the test behind a closed, or partially
12	closed door). In any event, this third test was also positive.
13	After the parties were unable to reach an agreement on how to deal with the
14	situation, and after two more positive tests, filed this action, on .
15	Upon filing and through the present, this matter was assigned to Hon.
16	On filed a <i>pro se</i> response denying paternity. In his
17	response, claimed " occurred between the parties, not sexual
18	intercourse, and he further alleged "
19	claims that while the matter was pending, she had a blood test done on
20	which confirmed, yet again, she was pregnant, but the test results
21	suggested the pregnancy was not viable (i.e., it was likely to end in miscarriage). About a
22	month later, on was seen by an OB/GYN facility called
23	where it was confirmed she was no longer pregnant.
24	After learning she was no longer pregnant, filed nothing further in this case,
25	and she took no actions to prosecute the matter any further. Because is not an
26	attorney, she was not familiar with the process for seeking a voluntary dismissal. On
27	, court administration issued a notice placing this matter on the inactive
28	calendar and scheduling the matter for dismissal on .

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.