


**TOM EZELL & ASSOCIATES**

3118 W. Thomas Road, Suite 716  
Phoenix, Arizona 85017  
Telephone: 272-8123



March 21, 1996

Chandler Police Department  
File #96-46099

On March 20, 1996, [REDACTED] was examined by means of the polygraph to determine the truthfulness of her allegations that she had sexual intercourse with a David Gingras who is twenty-three years of age. [REDACTED] is only seventeen years of age at this time.

During the pre-test interview, [REDACTED] related that she had sexual intercourse with David Gingras one time in June 1995 and then one or two times in January 1996 and at least three times in February and at least one time in March 1996. She related that the sexual activity included vaginal intercourse, occasionally oral sex and twice anal sex. On only one occasion did David have oral sex with her; the rest of the time she had oral sex with him.

She further related that David has denied any sexual activity with her at all. She denied having engaged in any sexual activities with any other male. All of these sexual activities she had with David were at his home, except for the first one in June 1995. That first incident occurred at her home.

During the examination, [REDACTED] was asked the following relevant questions which she answered as indicated.

1. Did David Gingras actually engage in sexual intercourse and oral sex with you in June 1995? Ans: Yes.
2. Did David Gingras actually engage in sexual activity with you on several occasions this year? Ans: Yes.
3. Have you deliberately lied about any of the sexual activities you state you have had with David Gingras? Ans: No.

The Utah Modified Control Question technique which is numerically scored, was utilized for this examination.

[REDACTED] physiological responses were monitored during the presentation of the above listed relevant questions by means of a four (4) channel Scientific Assessment Technologies Computer, Model CAPS 701.

Data from three presentations of the questions were obtained and were subject to numerical scoring and computer based analysis.

Page 2  
File #96-46099  
[REDACTED]

The total numerical score after three charts on the above listed relevant questions was +25. In the system of numerical scoring developed and validated at the University of Utah, total numerical scores of +6 or -6 are needed for truthful or deceptive charts respectively.

The computer based analysis returned a posterior probability of .996, indicating that there is a 99.6% confidence level in the charts produced by [REDACTED] as being truthful charts.

Based on these analyses and with the information available at the time of the examination, it is the opinion of the examiner that [REDACTED] is being truthful when she answered the above listed relevant questions as noted.

  
Tom Ezell, Examiner