```
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 1
                          COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 2
3
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE MONICA WILEY, JUDGE PRESIDING
                 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT - DEPARTMENT NO. 403
 4
 5
                                   --000---
 6
               Petitioner,
                                                       FDV-18-813693
                                            Case No.
8
              VS.
                                            Pages 1 to 10
9
     Michael Marraccini,
10
               Respondent.
11
12
                              January 26, 2018
13
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
14
     For the Petitioner:
15
     In Pro Per
16
     For the Respondent:
17
     Law Offices of Randy Sue Pollock
18
     BY: Randy Sue Pollock, Attorney at Law
19
20
21
22
23
                   Sherry Sawyer, CSR No. 5976, CRR, RMR
     Reported By:
24
                  Official Reporter
25
26
27
28
```

```
San Francisco, California; January 26, 2018; a.m.
 1
     Department No. 403
                        The Hon. Monica Wiley, Judge
 2
                    (Sherry Sawyer, Official Reporter)
 3
        THE COURT: We are on the record in the matter of
 4
 5
          versus Michael Marraccini.
         It is my understanding that the parties were unable to reach
 6
 7
     an agreement this morning. I do also notice that there are
     several individuals in the courtroom.
 8
9
         Is there any anticipation that there will be live testimony
10
     this morning?
11
                 , do you intend to have anyone testify on your
12
    behalf today?
        THE PETITIONER: Yes.
13
14
         THE COURT: How many witnesses do you have?
15
         THE PETITIONER: Just one.
16
        THE COURT: And who is that witness?
         THE PETITIONER: My mother.
17
18
         THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Pollock?
19
        MS. POLLOCK: Yes, Your Honor, we do have witnesses.
20
    have my client's mother,
                                              , his sister,
                                     They're all present in court.
21
               , and
22
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
        Given the time -- it is 11:30, and we do need to be off the
24
     record at noon -- I am going to schedule this matter for what we
25
     call a long-cause proceeding. Typically, our Friday morning
26
     calendars are, as you saw, very short calendars.
27
     not generally have time to call witnesses, although I did this
28
    morning on a few shorter cases. This may take a little bit more
```

```
time than 30 minutes, and I certainly don't want to rush this
 1
 2
    proceeding just to try to fit it in in the time that is
3
    allowable.
        MS. POLLOCK: Can I have a moment to get my calendar?
 4
         THE COURT: You may.
 5
         What I'll do is, I'm going to schedule this for a
 6
 7
    long-cause, which will be in the afternoon. So you will have a
     full afternoon of three hours with which to present evidence and
 8
9
     testimony.
10
         THE PETITIONER: May I bring additional witnesses at that
11
    point?
        THE COURT: Who would you like to bring?
12
        THE PETITIONER:
13
         THE COURT: And who is Ms.
14
         THE PETITIONER: She's a friend.
15
         THE COURT: Any other witnesses?
16
17
         THE CLERK:
                     Is February 9th okay?
         THE PETITIONER: Can I have one additional witness?
18
     name is Karen
19
20
         THE COURT: All right.
        MS. BERTA:
                    Your Honor, would you want direct testimony by
21
22
    declaration or
         THE COURT: Certainly. If the parties agree that direct
23
24
     testimony can be submitted by declaration, to the extent that
     the parties have put everything that they would like in their
25
     declarations, you can certainly do that. That would reduce some
26
27
     of the time, but you would still be subject to cross-examination
     by the other party.
28
```

So Ms. Pollock, I'll ask you first. 1 MS. POLLOCK: Are you going to be --2 35 MS. BERTA: Someone will be on the 9th, yeah. (Discussion held off the record.) 4 MS. POLLOCK: That's agreeable, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 It is my understanding that the parties will submit 7 declarations of all witnesses prior to the date of the next 8 court hearing. Those witnesses will be available at the 9 hearing, and subject to cross-examination by the other side. 10 So, again, the direct testimony will be by declaration. 11 other side will be able to cross-examine those witnesses, and 12 13 then, if necessary, any redirect or re-cross would then take 14 place. We do have February 9th available. 15 16 MS. BERTA: I don't know that I can get declarations. I 17 assume the Court -- when would the Court want the declarations 18 by? THE COURT: Well, let's take a look at the calendar. 19 MS. POLLOCK: Your Honor, the court is only on Fridays? 20 THE COURT: No, it's not. But we're looking at our first 21 availability. 22 23 MS. POLLOCK: I'm in Federal court that morning on February 24 9th. 25 THE COURT: That's fine. Then we can look for another date. THE CLERK: We can do the 16th. Does that work? 26 27 STEPHANIE MARRACCINI: Can I just speak to my brother for one minute?

28

THE COURT: Certainly. 1 MS. POLLOCK: Can we have one minute? 2 3 THE COURT: Certainly. We will take a brief recess while we 4 get the scheduling. (Brief recess.) 5 MS. POLLOCK: I have a Federal trial that is beginning 6 7 Friday, March 2nd, with jury selection before Judge Seeborg here in San Francisco. The evidence starts on March 5th. I would 8 assume I am done by the Friday. We're going every day except 9 10 Wednesdays. 11 THE COURT: All right. MS. POLLOCK: But it's a short trial. 12 THE COURT: That's fine. We will look at the week of March 13 12th or 19th. 14 MS. POLLOCK: The 12th? 15 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. We're looking at that week. 17 Availability, yes. 18 MS. POLLOCK: Any day? THE PETITIONER: I don't think that works for me. 19 20 following week would work. THE COURT: We're looking at the week of March 19th for our 21 22 availability. THE CLERK: March 23rd. 23 24 THE COURT: March 23rd, Friday? 25 MS. POLLOCK: I have the morning hours, Your Honor. I have a doctor's appointment in the afternoon that I can't 26 27 change. THE COURT: Department 403 at 9:00? 28

```
(Discussion held off the record.)
 1
         THE COURT: All right. We will schedule that for March 23rd
 2
3
    at 9:00 a.m.
        MS. POLLOCK: Sorry.
 4
         THE RESPONDENT: That is my best friend's wedding that day.
 5
         THE COURT: All right. So that day is not available.
 6
 7
         THE CLERK: Is the estimated time three hours?
         THE PETITIONER: An afternoon.
 8
         THE COURT: Yes. Three hours is the estimated time.
9
         THE PETITIONER: April 6th?
10
        MS. POLLOCK: April 6th in the afternoon.
11
        We are still filing declarations?
12
        THE COURT: Correct.
13
         THE CLERK: If April 6th, can we do it in the morning?
14
        THE COURT: April 6th at 9:00?
15
16
        MS. BERTA: Fridays will be hard.
17
         THE CLERK: That's right. Because they're -- we're not
18
    doing it, but CROC is doing it.
        MS. BERTA:
                    I mean, an afternoon -- a morning will be
19
20
    difficult.
         THE COURT: The afternoon of April 6th
21
22
        MS. POLLOCK: Yes.
23
        THE COURT: Ms. Owens?
24
         THE PETITIONER: Yes.
         THE COURT: All right. April 6th at 1:30 to 4:30.
25
26
    would be in this department.
        MS. POLLOCK: Could I have just a moment, Your Honor?
27
28
         (Discussion held off the record.)
```

MS. POLLOCK: My two witnesses are gonna be in New York, and 1 one was a percipient witness. 2 3 THE COURT: April 13th? MS. POLLOCK: Yes. 4 THE COURT: That would be in the morning or afternoon? 5 Afternoon? 6 THE CLERK: The afternoon at 1:30. 7 MS. POLLOCK: That's fine. 1:30. 8 9 THE COURT: We will continue this matter to April 13th at 1:30 to 4:30 in the afternoon. 10 Based upon discussions with counsel, it is my understanding 11 that all declarations will be filed prior to the date of the 12 13 next hearing. Counsel, do you want to provide those declarations to the 14 Court no later than March 29th, two weeks prior to the hearing 15 16 date? 17 MS. POLLOCK: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. March 29th will be the 18 19 date by which the declarations will be filed. 20 The witnesses for all declarants -- I'm sorry -- all declarants will be available for cross-examination during or at 21 the hearing on April 13th. 22 The current temporary order that is in effect will remain in 23 effect until the date of the next court hearing. 24 25 Are there any questions? Your Honor, what department will this be in? 26 MS. BERTA: 27 THE COURT: This will be in Department 403, this department. MS. POLLOCK: Serve it here, the declarations here? 28

THE COURT: Correct 1 MS. POLLOCK: Not next door? 2 3 THE COURT: No. You will serve them in -- at the clerk's office in Department 402. 4 MS. POLLOCK: Okay. 5 THE COURT: They will be routed here. There was a question 6 7 in the back. Earlier today in your courtroom you 8 asked both parties questions. So now why is it different that 9 10 the -- that you can be cross-examined? 11 THE COURT: When you say, why is it different that you can 12 be cross-examined --Maybe I missed it, but I didn't see 13 other attorneys asking others questions. I just heard you ask 14 15 the questions. 16 THE COURT: Right. Most of the pro per self-represented 17 litigants cases that I have, I typically direct the questioning. 18 When there are attorneys, I allow the attorneys to examine the 19 opposing side. : Thank you. 20 THE COURT: That's all right. 21 22 And there's another question in the back. All right. 23 is what happens when you ask if there are any questions. 24 Could it be made clear what happens now 25 that the temporary restraining order is in effect from now until April 13th. If he should come into contact with her, what -26 27 what are the ramifications of that? MS. BERTA: Your Honor, I can address that with her. 28

: I would like for him to be aware of what the ramifications are.

THE COURT: All right. There is a temporary order currently in effect. If the order is violated, then police may be summoned. If it is determined to be a violation of the temporary order, then there certainly are consequences to that depending upon whether the police officers believe there is sufficient evidence that the order has been violated.

THE RESPONDENT: Of course, I do know that.

THE COURT: And my apologies --

THE RESPONDENT: Marraccini.

THE COURT: Mr. Marraccini, I don't know why I am having problems with that. Mr. Marraccini, please be aware that the order is currently in effect and will remain in effect until the date of the next court hearing. Thank you, sir.

All right. Thank you.

MS. POLLOCK: Thank you.

THE COURT: If you will have a seat, I will sign the reissuance, and we will see you on April 13th at 1:30.

Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded.)

111.35

1	State of California)	
2	County of San Francisco	
4		
5	I, Sherry Sawyer, Official Reporter for the Superior Court	
6	of California, County of San Francisco, do hereby certify:	
7	That I was present at the time of the above proceedings;	
8	That I took down in machine shorthand notes all proceedings	,
9	had and testimony given;	111
10	That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand notes with the	
11	aid of a computer;	
12	That the above and foregoing is a full, true, and correct	
13	transcription of said shorthand notes, and a full, true and	
14	correct transcript of all proceedings had and testimony taken;	1
15	That I am not a party to the action or related to a party	
16	or counsel;	
17	That I have no financial or other interest in the outcome	
18	of the action.	
19	Hilling Hilling Hilling Hilling	1
20	electure the training to the t	
21	Dated: March 22, 2024	
22		
23	Sherry Sawyer D	
24	Sherry Sawyer, CSR No. 5976, CRR, RMR	11
25		
26	And the state of t	
27	Hilling Hilling Hilling Hilling	
28	egh egh	
10	"#Ji.	