

Fwd: Echard

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Greg Gillespie** [REDACTED]
Date: Wed, Sep 4, 2024 at 9:35 AM
Subject: Re: Echard
To: David Gingras [REDACTED]

Thanks for continuing to entertain me while you and Laura are just further exposed. Daddy tell mommy on Gregory? Again, LMAOI Loser.



SCOTTSDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT - Use of this document is regulated. OFFICIAL USE ONLY. For the original purpose requested. Contact the originator for any questions regarding this material.

Scottsdale Police Department

11401 E INDIAN SCHOOL RD | SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 | P: 480.312.5000

Report # 2412464 - Department Report (DR) Report

EVENTS DAY: 06/11/2024 11:11:11 AM
Jun 11 2024 14:12 Jun 9, 2024 00:00- Jun 11, 2024 00:00 COLTON BOATRIGHT #1574

11<<:JN-,S_,AZ-

NARRATIVE

---SYNOPSIS---

On 6/11/24 Laura Owens claimed that Clayton Echard, Michael Marraocini, and Gregory Gillespie all violated her active orders of protection against them. The incidents occurred on multiple occasions as detailed in the report and attached documents.

---CALL COMMENTS---

On 6/11/24, I was wearing my designated police uniform, an on-body camera, and driving a fully marked Scottsdale police patrol vehicle. At approximately 1303 hours I was dispatched to a delayed violation of an order of protection at

---INTERVIEW WITH LAURA---

I then called the reporting party who identified herself to me verbally as Laura Owens. I asked Laura to explain to me what happened, and the following is what she told me.

She explained that on 6/11/24 three different men whom she has orders of protection against all came to town to conspire against her and create a smear campaign. She stated that she was in court on the 10th and that Michael Marraocini violated her order of protection by appearing as a witness in a court hearing where he was asked to testify as a witness. She stated that the judge that presided over the hearing knew about the order of protection and allowed Michael to testify and stay in the courtroom. She stated that her attorney told her that this posed a significant threat to her safety and wellbeing and that it violated the strict 'no contact' rule in the order. To quote her further, "Judge Mataanow Michael Marraocini to stay despite my restraining order, stating, 'Judicial Branch Security will ensure the safety of all parties while at the Northeast Facility. Additional accommodations will be considered as requested. This statement does not justify the violation of my protection order, as the presence of court security does not replace the legal protections granted by the restraining order'. She stated that the hearing was related to a paternity case against Clayton Echard whom she also has an order of protection against and is also guilty of violating her protection order.

Laura then sent me an email detailing how Clayton Echard and Gregory Gillespie (she also has an order of protection against Gregory) have violated her orders of protection by indirectly causing their substantial following on social media to harass her. She states that Clayton has appeared on multiple podcasts saying about Laura "she is dangerous" and "she needs to be prosecuted". It should be noted that she could not cite and state where any of the three males asked their followers to harass her. Laura states that Gregory violated her order by posting his stop this woman! No one should cave to her harassment. Laura stated that these posts and comments on podcasts are violations because these statements are untrue and delamatory in nature, and they invite their followers to further harass her. Laura cites one of the orders of protection: "Defendant shall not communicate or post untrue or harassing comments regarding Plaintiff online, including but not limited to on social

REPORTING OFFICER: DATE: COLTON BOATRIGHT 01574 J1, 11/16, 2024 19:19 (e-signature)
OFFICER: DATE: GARRETT WIEGER #1346 Jun 16, 2024 20:37 (e-signature)
COLTON BOATRIGHT #1574 GARRETT WIEGER #1346

Scottsdale Police Department
9401 E INDIAN SCHOOL RD | SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 | P: 480.312.5000
Standard Form 100.2 approved by N. J. NIOSKI 01/17/08 on Aug 11, 2024 13:45

Report # 2412464 - Department Report (DR) Report Scottsdale Police Department

media, and shall not cause others to communicate or post untrue or harassing comments regarding Plaintiff online or otherwise.

---ECHARD CLAIM---

Laura claims that Clayton violated her order by stating on podcasts "She is dangerous" and "she needs to be prosecuted". The details are listed above and stating an opinion about a case and her on a public platform does not violate the order.

---GILLESPIE CLAIM---

Laura states that Gregory stated "she is dangerous" if she does it invite the following "Stop this woman! No one should cave to her harassment, she were posted on a public platform and nowhere in the communication with the plaintiff.

---MARRACINI CLAIM---

Mobile interface with 'Save a copy' button and navigation icons

[REDACTED]

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 2:49PM Greg Gillespie [REDACTED] wrote:

Looks like I'll be owning a new horse farm 3.1 miles from my home.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Thu, Jun 13, 2024 at 12:17 AM David Gingras wrote:

If you're late, just call my cell on WhatsApp I'm out walking for next hour but tomorrow is fine.

David Gingras, Esq.
Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:50:59 AM

To: David Gingras [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Echard

Call wouldn't go through. You must still have me blocked from when you chickened.

Rather than just unlocking, you likely need to delete the contact entirely after unblocking and **save** the number as new. I have experience in this from having blocked Jane Does 14 different iMessage accounts she contacted me from, then had to unblock to connect after her threats to my family and workplace.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 12, 2024 at 11:39PM David Gingras [REDACTED] wrote:

I told you I was happy to talk. You were the one who chickened out.

If you REALLY want to talk, I'll do it. I'm really not the asshole you think I am.

David Gingras, Esq.
Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:37:56 AM

To: David Gingras [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Echard

Call me sometime, we can talk through it.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 12, 2024 at 11:37PM David Gingras [REDACTED] wrote:

What did I lie about?

David Gingras, Esq.
Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]

From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:35:21AM

To: David Gingras [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Echard

Plenty. But your display on Monday, the liar you choose to continue to defend, that is a choice, not your job. I want you to know that I know that. You have also lied. You're very, very bad at this.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 12, 2024 at 10:52PM David Gingras [REDACTED] wrote:

Taking the time to email me? Don't you have better things to do?

Guess not. Sad.

David Gingras, Esq.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:29:19 AM

To: David Gingra
Subject: Re: Echard

Hey loser! Glad it didn't go your way. Like, in anyway possible. Lmao.

Enjoy your trip. You know, I think a new city for you might be a good idea ..

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]

On Fri, May 10, 2024 at 4:42PM David Gingras [REDACTED] wrote:

Greg,

If you recall, /was the one who was happy to talk last week or whenever you reached out. You were the one who got scared and decided you didn't want to talk. If anyone needs a welfare check, it ain't me, bro.

I'm still here. Happy to chat anytime. It's Friday. Life is good.

If you seriously cared about justice, you'd talk to me. I am probably the ONE person who is in the best position to be able to do something to help this situation. I have good communication with Laura, and, despite what everyone thinks, I remain open to the idea that maybe she has done everything people claim. Until recently, I had ZERO reason to believe that, but the texts with MM are a concern - not going to deny that (although at this point, since I haven't been allowed to verify them, I can't accept them as accurate).

The real problem here is that no one from your side will talk to me. Because of that, I only get to hear Laura's story. So of course I accept her version of the story. It's the only one I've got.

If you assume I truly am a reasonable and somewhat open-minded person, just imagine what would happen if one (or all) of Laura's "victims" were willing to just pick up the phone and tell me their stories. That **"might"** make a real difference, but since everyone on your side is terrified to talk, that leaves me with Laura's unrefuted side of the story.

You can change that if you want, but it requires being a man and picking up the phone.

David Gingras, Esq.

Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]

From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2024 3:35:16 PM
To: David Gingras [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Echard

Checking in! Just want to make sure you are okay since you never replied back!

Please, at least let me know you are alive. I don't want to have to call for a wellness check..

[REDACTED]

On Tue, Apr 23, 2024 at 10:34 PM Greg Gillespie [REDACTED] wrote:

Hey Gringas! Is everything okay? I was worried when I never heard back from you today. I figured after the nice words in your previous email, you would for want to follow up after receiving the very EVIDENCE you believed I was bluffing about. Get what you need? I held up my end of the bargain.. be a "man," hold up yours.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Tue, Apr 23, 2024 at 5:24 PM Greg Gillespie [REDACTED] wrote:

No problem. Did you receive it? Both recordings?

|

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Mon, Apr 22, 2024 at 10:07 PM David **Gingras** wrote:

Greg,

Just FYI - I blocked your# on my phone. I don't have time for games. I'm happy to look at evidence you have that shows Laura lying, if you have it.

I asked for this several times, and you produced nothing. I interpret that to mean you have no evidence. People who have proof don't play games. They lay their cards on the table. Only liars and bluffers won't do this.

I've been doing this for 20+ years, and it is always the same - if you have evidence, you show it. If you don't, you throw insults and attacks. YAWN. Not impressed.

The legal system doesn't tolerate games like this. If you have something that shows Laura lying, you are welcome to email it to me here.

I am alway open to seeing evidence that Laura is wrong. If you prove (with evidence, not speculation) that she has lied about anything relevant, I will drop her immediately. I've said that repeatedly, and the offer stands.

To be clear that does NOT apply to things she has admitted being untruthful about (like the top of the PP ultrasound with Clayton, which is of minimal importance in this case). People are allowed to make mistakes and admit them. That is not a basis to drop them as a client. I need proof that Laura has lied about something that actually matters, and that she has NOT confessed to being untruthful. As I'm sure you know, Clayton has also admitted to lying in this case. Nice guy.

More name calling/insults/random speculation are not worth my time and will receive no response, so I'm not considering any of that, nor will the judge.

David Gingras, Esq.

Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 21, 2024 11:08 PM
To: David Gingras [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Echard

David, may I call you David?

First, I would like to hear your response to the important information I have already shared with you in my previous email. I notice you have jumped at the opportunity to respond to a few latter adverse comments, yet you have nothing to say regarding the very important context of my original communication. Non lawyers, like myself would find this sort of thing peculiar. Do you? Please, share your "man to man" thoughts before replying to any of the below.

This has nothing to do with anything Gregg said (nothing really) and it is weird that you think my anger is related to anything other than Laura's actions.

It is about what happened to me. It is about what happened to Mike. It is about what happened to Matt. It is about what is happening to Clayton.

I read you said you would quit the case if you found out Laura was lying. Integrity would be doing that as you know she is has lied. Instead you are inciting conflict on the internet and I think Laura wants you to embarrass me here like she tried with my work, family and girlfriend.

You want a "man to man" talk so here it is. She has destroyed lives with her lies. Be a "man" and tell her to finally admit she has a problem and that she regrets the lies and hell she has caused because 4 men did not want to date her. You have NO idea how horrific it is to be falsely accused of rape and more.

You already have demonstrated with your posts that you are committed to being Connor McGregor and winning. A win here is her admitting she faked records and used fake pregnancies to manipulate men. Then she should go get help before more people suffer her torture.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Sun, Apr 21, 2024 at 10:06 PM David **Gingra** wrote:

Greg,

You reached out to me. I have no interest in messing with you. I had no idea who you were as of 3 weeks ago, and when this is all over, I am happy to move on to other things. You and I do not have any personal dispute with each other.

I don't know anything about Laura's past, other than what the file contains. None of this is personal to me. I'm only searching for the truth, and I only care about the things that are needed to resolve the legal issues I'm working on.

With that said, I don't see any grounds to say that Laura is "fucking with me". She's not the one pushing any of this forward. She wants to go on with her life, and she has no interest in dragging any of her past into the present (if she does not have to).

The ONLY issue I am worried about right now relates to the situation with Clayton Echard. I don't have any interest in you at all, except to the extent that Woodnick says you have important information that bears on the case I'm working on. If Woodnick didn't bring you up, I'd have no interest in you at all.

If you have important info, then I'm happy to talk. If you don't, then I have no interest in disturbing your privacy.

David Gingras, Esq.

Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]

Sent: Sunday, April 21, 2024 9:37 PM

To: David Gingras [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Echard

I'll talk.. but man to man (I have no problem putting things in writing), you know Laura is fucking with you and it's all a lie, right? There's nothing you can do or say to get me to entertain Laura, or you. I'm fact, you're associated with her, therefore you should know my sentiment towards you as a person.

Greg Gillespie

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Sun, Apr 21, 2024 at 8:55PM David **Gingra-rote**:

Greg,

It's Sunday night and I didn't see your email until just this second.

Do you want to have a conversation? Despite whatever Woodnick may have said, I am a completely normal guy, and I am willing to have a conversation with you man-to-man, off the record, nothing recorded and no threats.

I'm happy to talk now, or tomorrow or whatever.

FYI - there is an ethical rule that says if you have a lawyer, I can't speak directly with you. I can only talk to your lawyer. I know Woodnick WAS your lawyer in the past, but I am not aware of him representing you today. If Woodnick IS still representing you, let me know so I can go through the correct channel.

Otherwise, my cell is: [REDACTED] and I am happy to talk with you right now, or tomorrow or whatever. Lawyers are NOT permitted to lie, so I will promise you this convo will not be recorded, will not be shared with anyone, and will not be posted on social media or anywhere else.

Just let me know.

David Gingras, Esq.

Gingras Law Office, PLLC

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: Greg Gillespie [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 21, 2024 7:29 PM
To: David Gingras [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Echard

Mr. Gingras,

Gregg Woodnick sent me your contact information. I am not interested in airing this drama on social media. I am also a private person unlike Laura and Clayton. I am nonnal guy, with a normal job and fixated

on being a new dad in my normal life. You can imagine how upset I was when I was told by people following the Echard matter that you may have shared legal papers involving my case with Laura and her pregnancy nonsense on your blog. I have never spoken about my case publicly or shared the absolute hell your client put me through. Not one of the other lawyers that Laura had ever did that or treated me with such disregard. You broadcasting my cases with Laura is so similar to her history of contacting my work (emails to my HR, threats to reach out to employees via Uncle Joe's biza1Te emails, having OOPs served to my office, & probably more I am not thinking of at the moment) multiple times to get me to humiliate or get me fired. This feels like an attempt to intimidate me not to come to court for Clayton. And, if you are about to say that it was the other online people and you were just responding or that it was not your intention, save the oxygen as you are insulting my ability to read.

You have read my file (since you shared some of it) and, while Laura and I were intimate one night (regrettably so times 1 trillion) I did not get her pregnant. The evening was also consensual! I did not rape or orchestrate her rape or whatever that horrific lie was that she told the judge when she was refusing to be on the computer screen where someone could see and visually confirm her pregnancy. (*Sound familiar?*) I refused to date Laura or do her relationship contract thing, despite her telling me that she would only have an abortion if I went to her sister's wedding and other crazy demands. It is not just a coincidence that Mike, Matt, and Clayton ALL have nearly the same story. You should also see the OOP the court granted me prior to getting counsel. It was granted and signed by the judge. Do you think I can read the future? Or, is it a miraculous phenomenon that this document lays the groundwork for a nearly identical timeline Laura has displayed between herself and ABCs, 'the bachelor'. she's a lush for celebrity's. Have you not seen it? I'm happy to share it with you as it contains our courts seal, time stamped and dated. Let me know if you feel compelled to see it?

Laura tried to ruin my life with her abortion coercion lawsuit for a twin pregnancy that never happened. She claimed she was pregnant in days, she doctored (photoshopped) an ultrasound image taken from a website online from years before, 2015. (*Sound familiar?*) She hid from court ordered meetings off camera because she was hiding not being pregnant and then claimed I somehow was involved in her rape. She even claimed one fetus died because she only took one of the abortion pills. I can go on about the insanity. She tried to ruin my life; She failed as I have a real child and have been to real OB appointments, unlike Laura, I pretty familiar with how a real pregnancy works.

Her story with Clayton is so similar. Just like with Clayton, she contacted my family and made up connections with my life to make herself feel impactful. She contacted ex girl friends based off of one conversation we had on one date and continues to try to connect with them. She sent a cease and desist to my current girlfriend's work just a few months ago to continue to harass me and my family.

David, imagine spending a few hours with a human and almost 3 years later, that human is still attempting to be involved in your life. Do I think Laura is maniacal? Ask me on June 10th. You probably realize the same thing but already got paid and are in too far to turn back after the atrocities you've posted online.

Greg

#2? #3? I hear there's more.....

Greg Gillespie

