

EXHIBIT '1'



Posts

Replies

Media

Likes




David S. Gingras @DavidSGingras · 2h

One theme in Owens v. Echard is Laura "lied" about being pregnant with other men. Laura says that's 100% false, and several bloggers claim she's lying.

I'm about to provide some receipts you haven't seen which may change your mind about who to believe.

Coming later today.



1.2K



David S. Gingras @DavidSGingras · 2h

And by the way, Mike Marraccini is one of the alleged ex-boyfriends who certain bloggers have cited as "PROOF" Laura faked pregnancies in the past, but here he is under oath saying he DID believe she was pregnant (and BTW, she was never pregnant twice with him).

#JusticeForLaura



919



2:24

100



David S. Gingras

2.6K posts

Follow

Posts

Replies

Media

Likes



David S. Gingras @DavidSGingras · 1h



This is going to require a full blog post to explain, but certain bloggers are already publishing more lies about Laura, so I'll just share this without full context.

I'll explain later why, IMHO, this guarantees a win for Laura.

[gingraslaw.com/MarracciniDepo...](http://gingraslaw.com/MarracciniDepo...)

**In the Matter Of:**

LAURA OWENS vs MICHAEL MARRACCINI, et al.,

---

**MICHAEL MARRACCINI**

*June 13, 2018*

---

**Court Reporters, Videography, Trial Preparation**


**Videoconference Center**

Oakland • San Francisco • San Jose

Sacramento • Irvine • Los Angeles

877.451.1580

[www.aikenwelch.com](http://www.aikenwelch.com)




10





979



David S. Gingras @DavidSGingras · 2h



 (<https://twitter.com/DavidSGingras>)

 (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/david-gingras-165b683/>)

[Gingras Law Office, PLLC \(https://gingraslaw.com/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/)

[Practice Areas \(https://gingraslaw.com/practice-areas/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/practice-areas/)


[Blog \(https://gingraslaw.com/blog/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/blog/)


[🏠 \(https://gingraslaw.com\)](https://gingraslaw.com/) > [Internet Law \(https://gingraslaw.com/internet-law/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/internet-law/)


[Connect \(https://gingraslaw.com/contact-2/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/contact-2/)


Blog

# Let's Talk About Lies – Part 2

 [David Gingras \(https://gingraslaw.com/author/gingraslaw/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/author/gingraslaw/) -

 May 2, 2024 -

 [Internet Law \(https://gingraslaw.com/category/internet-law/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/category/internet-law/) / [Lawsuits \(https://gingraslaw.com/category/lawsuits/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/category/lawsuits/) -

 [18 Comments \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comments\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comments)

A while ago, I wrote a [post with bullet points \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/) that Laura's critics have passed off as truth. The third point on that list was:

*3.) Clayton says Laura has "done this to other men"*

I'm going to skip Point 2 for now, and let's talk about Point 3 — "Laura has done this to other men". Sounds bad, right? But is it true? Let's talk about that....

One of the "other men" frequently discussed is a guy name Michael Marraccini (she calls him "Mike", so I'll use that for now). If you have followed the story, you will know the Cult claims Laura faked being pregnant with Mike, and every time that story is repeated, it's spoken about as if this is a statement of true facts. LAURA LIED ABOUT MIKE'S BABY! But is it true?

Before I get into the details, there is something VERY important you need to understand. Have you ever seen a TV show or a movie about a court case, and one of the lawyers jumps up and shouts: "*Objection! Foundation!*" Do you know what this means?

I'll explain. When a lawyer objects to foundation (or lack of foundation), that's our shorthand way of saying we don't believe the witness has been shown to have something called "*personal knowledge*". OKAY, what's so special about personal knowledge?

Search

## Recent Posts

[Let's Talk About Lies – Part 2 \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/)

[Let's Talk About Lies – Part 1 \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/)

[What The Hell Is A "Vanishing Twin"? \(https://gingraslaw.com/what-the-hell-is-a-vanishing-twin/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/what-the-hell-is-a-vanishing-twin/)

[What Does "Justice For Clayton" Look Like? Let me tell you.... \(https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you...)

[My Guest Appearance On The Bachelor, I Mean Dr. Phil \(https://gingraslaw.com/my-guest-appearance-on-the-bachelor-i-mean-dr-phil/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/my-guest-appearance-on-the-bachelor-i-mean-dr-phil/)

## Archives

[May 2024 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/)

[April 2024 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/)

[October 2023 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2023/10/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2023/10/)

[August 2023 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2023\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2023)

This is Law School 101 stuff, but basically the Rules of Evidence say a witness can ONLY testify about things IF that person first shows they have “personal knowledge” of the subject matter they want to discuss. This comes straight from Rule 602 of the Rules of Evidence

([https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?](https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default))

[viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default))) (that link is for the AZ rules, but the federal rules are identical).

**Rule 602. Need for Personal Knowledge**

*A witness may testify to a matter only if evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that **the witness has personal knowledge of the matter.***

*Evidence to prove personal knowledge may consist of the witness’s own testimony. This rule does not apply to a witness’s expert testimony under Rule 703.*

That text is pretty dry, so let me paraphrase — witnesses aren’t allowed to blow smoke out of their ass. If a witness wants to say something is true, they first have to answer ONE question: **how do you know that?**

Here’s an example of how this works in practice. Let’s say you are involved in a case and you want to prove it snowed in Hawaii on December 25, 2023. So, you call a witness to the stand and ask them: “Witness, did it snow in Hawaii on December 25, 2023?”

If this happened in court, your opposing counsel would immediately object. Why? Lack of foundation.

This is how a lawyer tells the judge you haven’t met the requirements of Rule 602 because you have not shown the witness has personal knowledge of this issue. You haven’t explained, *how this person knows that?*

[/08/](#)

March 2019

<https://gingraslaw.com/2019/03/>

Recent Comments

Lonni on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4590>)

Lonni on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4589>)

fake babies on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4588>)

Lying Liars on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4587>)

MAY 2024

M	T	W	T	F
			2	3
			<a href="https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/02/">https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/02/</a>	
6	7	8	9	10
13	14	15	16	17
20	21	22	23	24
27	28	29	30	31

« [Apr](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/>)

But this problem is easily fixed. Just like this (before asking anything about the weather): “Mr. Witness, were you physically present in the State of Hawaii on December 25, 2023, and were you in a position to SEE the weather conditions on that date?”

Assuming the witness says yes, you *then* ask if they saw any snow in Hawaii on that date. With that simple intro, you satisfied the requirements of Rule 602 by proving the witness was in a position to see the weather in Hawaii. You answered the question: how *do you know* what the weather was like in Hawaii? This quick little bit of foundation shows the witness has personal knowledge of the weather conditions in Hawaii on the date in question.

I know that’s boring and technical, but trust me – IT MATTERS. Again, this is basic Law School 101 level stuff, but if a witness can’t show they have personal knowledge of a THING, that witness will NOT be allowed to testify about that THING. PERIOD. I have literally won entire cases based on that one simple rule.

As the example shows, establishing personal knowledge is usually not a big deal. If you want to ask a witness about Topic X, before you dive into that topic, you just need to lay some foundation showing the witness HAS personal knowledge of Topic X. It’s easy (assuming the witness knows what they are talking about), but most non-lawyers would *never* think about this.

OK, with that boring intro behind us, let’s get back to the story of Mike Marraccini, and why his deposition transcript is so completely devastating for the anti-Laura crew. The full transcript is at the end of this post, but I’ll give you a summary.

Mike and Laura met through an online dating app in early 2016 (he talks about this on page 27 of his depo, and he’s not 100% sure of the date, or which app...but neither of those points are important). At that time, both Mike and Laura were living in San Francisco. Laura has told me she and Mike dated for “a couple years”, but the exact start and end dates aren’t clear from the records I’ve seen. For now, just assume this relationship lasted for at least a year, probably a while longer (basically from early 2016 through late 2017).

In mid-2016 Laura got pregnant. This was a medically-confirmed pregnancy with multiple records to support it, including HCG tests and an ultrasound. At the time, Laura and Mike were both in their mid-20s. They both felt they were too young to have kids, so they decided abortion was the best option.

Laura went to Planned Parenthood in July 2016 where she was given Mifepristone (a pill to medically terminate the pregnancy). Unfortunately, the first pill didn't work (not unusual), and Laura continued to test positive for pregnancy. This resulted in her going back to Planned Parenthood a few weeks later (with Mike). Again, plenty of records exist to support all of this.

According to Laura, this was NOT an issue of her "getting pregnant twice" (and certainly not faking pregnancy twice). She got pregnant with Mike ONCE, and it took a couple of doctor's visits to terminate the pregnancy. Mike participated in all this, and was fully aware that Laura WAS pregnant, and they jointly made the decision to terminate it. Here's a Planned Parenthood record showing the follow-up trip, and Laura also discusses this at length in a declaration I'm adding to the end of this post. Importantly, Laura's declaration was written back in 2018, LONG before this whole mess with Clayton ever happened.





## Laura & Mike in Iceland



While Laura and Mike may have looked like a happy couple on the outside, according to Laura, Mike had a very dark and abusive side. In her declaration filed in California back in 2018, Laura described the verbal abuse she received from Mike on the flight back from Iceland. This abuse was witnessed by a fellow passenger (a complete stranger) who later confirmed Laura's version of what occurred:

9           21.    During the flight from San Francisco to Reykjavik, Iceland, Mr. Marraccini berated me  
10 nonstop for hours. He criticized me for nearly everything I said or did. If I turned my head to look at  
11 him when he said something, he'd criticize me for turning too quickly, telling me that my reflexes were  
12 "unnaturally fast" and that there was something wrong with me. He criticized my career and told me  
13 I was bad at my job and was worthless. He told me I was "ugly" and that nobody else would ever want  
14 to date me. When I would try to kiss him and cheer him up, he'd tell me I was "gross" and a bad kisser.  
15 He said I was bad in bed. Then he said he wanted to have a threesome since sex with me was so boring.  
16 He suggested a threesome with my sister or with a black man. He said it would turn him on to watch  
17 someone else have sex with me. I told him no and that I felt uncomfortable. He seemed to enjoy putting  
18 me down. His criticisms went on for hours, and I cried on and off throughout the entire flight.

After Iceland, according to Laura, things went from bad to worse. According to her sworn declaration filed in court in California, Mike began physically assaulting her, including “strangling” her during sex and verbally abusing her.

12 31. I had hoped the abuse would stop once we came home, but it didn't. Mr. Marraccini  
13 became even *more* aggressive after he lost his job. Every time we had sex, he strangled me. This  
14 happened 4-5 times per week and at least 100 times total. He also frequently smacked my bare butt with  
15 his open hand, sometimes more than 50 times in a row. Sometimes he would tell me to take an Ambien  
16 (a sleeping pill, which I had been recently prescribed) before sex so that it would be easier for him to  
17 have his way with me. Sex with Mr. Marraccini felt like abuse, not loving and intimate. I often cried  
18 while he had sex with me. After he would finish having sex with me, I would tell him that he hurt me  
19 and ask him to stop strangling me. Sometimes he apologized and said that he “just lost control.” He'd  
20 swear he was going to work on himself and promised to stop. Other times, he'd brush it off and act like  
21 I enjoyed it (even though I repeatedly told him I did not). On numerous occasions, he told me the control  
22 was a turn-on for him and that he needed it since sex with me was “too boring” or “too vanilla.”

Laura eventually ended the relationship with Mike in late 2017. She claims he began stalking her as a result. Fearful for her safety, in January 2018, Laura applied for a restraining order against Mike. Here's a complete copy of the file from that case (<https://gingraslaw.com/MarracciniDV.pdf>).

Now having said all this, you may be asking yourself — “Hang on, so Laura claims Mike was an abusive boyfriend. So what? You haven't explained why any of this ‘guarantees’ a win for Laura.” And that's right, I haven't explained it yet, so I'll do that right now.

At the start of this post, I explained the concept (and the rule) which requires proof a witness has personal knowledge of something before they are allowed to testify about anything. Remember that?

Now, keeping the concept of “personal knowledge” in mind, go back and read through Mike's deposition. Show me a SINGLE example of him offering any explanation to show how he has personal knowledge of Laura faking being pregnant. YOU CAN'T, BECAUSE IT IS NOT THERE. And FYI – personal knowledge means PERSONAL knowledge. Hearing something from a 3rd party is hearsay, not personal knowledge.

Look specifically at his discussion of the pregnancy between pages 45-47 of the depo transcript. As you read this, try to ask yourself: "OKAY, the witness is saying he doesn't **think** Laura was pregnant, **BUT HOW DOES HE KNOW THAT? How does he personally know she was not pregnant?**" Remember, a witness can't say it snowed in Hawaii on Christmas 2023 unless they first prove they were in Hawaii on that date, so again, ask yourself what proof does Marraccini offer to show he personally KNEW Laura lied about being pregnant?

Mike is very clear about how he knows that — HE DOES NOT KNOW THAT. He offers nothing but pure speculation. None of this even comes close to clearing the hurdle of personal knowledge. In fact, he even says (repeatedly) he believes she probably WAS pregnant, "the first time". But he apparently forgot the "first time" was, in fact, **the ONLY time**. Maybe he has memory or mental issues, but the records on this are clear — Laura was only pregnant ONCE with Mike, but she had to go back a couple of times after the pills didn't work the first time. That's probably why Mike thinks it was two pregnancies. It wasn't. It was just one, and in his own words, Mike admits he thought she probably was pregnant. OOPS.

Folks, it doesn't get much clearer than that. Mike doesn't have personal knowledge of ANYTHING regarding Laura "faking" a pregnancy. If he tried to say that at trial in our case, I'd object to a lack of foundation and, separately, that he's just speculating about this. If Mike suddenly develops a shocking new level of clarity about this, I hope he can explain why he answered differently in his deposition SIX YEARS AGO.

NOTE – Laura informs me Mike's story about her father somehow "admitting" Laura lied about being pregnant is also 100% false. I haven't met Laura's dad (yet), but I'll go ahead and verify his side of things as soon as I can. But if the evidence stays this way moving forward, Laura's critics are going to have a very, very hard time avoiding liability for defamation.

12 Q. So you were -- just so I'm clear, you were aware  
13 that she felt conflicted about terminating that; right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. So Laura -- I'm not clear about -- is it  
16 your position that she wasn't actually pregnant?

17 A. I think -- I don't think she was pregnant two  
18 times. I think one of them was a made up story, and I  
19 think one might have been legitimate.

20 Q. Which one do you think was legitimate?

21 A. The first time.

22 Q. And why do you think she was pregnant the second  
23 time?

24 A. I think she did that to keep me around because I  
25 think she was going through a lot at the time. She said

877.451.1580

MICHAEL MARRACCINI 08/13/2018

www.aikenwelch.com

Page 47

1 abortion?

2 A. Maybe on the first go around. I don't know. I  
3 still to this day don't think she was pregnant.

4 Q. So you don't think she was pregnant at all?

5 A. I don't think she was pregnant because of all  
6 the stories. The timelines never made sense. So I don't  
7 -- looking back now -- at the time I definitely thought  
8 she was pregnant. Looking back now, I don't.

9 Q. Okay. So I just want to be a hundred percent  
10 because I thought earlier you said you did think she was  
11 pregnant one of those times. So is your story that you  
12 don't think she was ever pregnant?

13 A. If she was ever pregnant, I believe it was the  
14 first time. But I -- looking back now, I don't know if  
15 she ever was pregnant. So at the time -- I don't know  
16 how to give you a clear answer on this because at the  
17 time I thought she was pregnant both times because I'm  
18 not going to go and study the timelines of pregnancies  
19 and do everything like that. But looking back now, I  
20 think one of the pregnancies or both were made up.

21 Q. Okay.

1 Declaration of Laura Owens

2 I, Laura Owens, declare as follows:

3 1. I make all of the statements herein of my own personal knowledge, except as to those  
4 matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true, and if called  
5 as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.

6 HISTORY OF RELATIONSHIP

7 2. Mr. Marraccini and I were romantically involved from March 2016 until late Fall 2017.  
8 Contrary to what he alleges in his declaration filed on January 22, 2018, we did not stop dating in March  
9 2017.

10 3. I met Mr. Marraccini in March 2016 through The League, a dating app for professionals  
11 that matches people together based on their LinkedIn and Facebook profiles. His profile said that he was  
12 in "Real Estate Development" and that he went to California Polytechnic Institute. (See Exhibit 1,  
13 screenshots of Mr. Marraccini's profile from The League taken April 26, 2016 and May 10, 2017). He  
14 asked me out to dinner and I accepted.

15 4. Initially, Mr. Marraccini was very charming. In the early months of our relationship, we  
16 saw each other often and seemed to have a lot in common. Mr. Marraccini showed interest in my career  
17 (I am the CEO of Quartet Farms, a company that buys and sells show horses). He talked about his own  
18 career ambitions and said he was a real estate developer and that he had his real estate license. He also  
19 frequently talked about his many job offers at big developments firms. I wanted to be with someone who  
20 was career oriented like I am and thought we were a good match. We talked about my love for animals  
21 and animal welfare, which he also claimed to care deeply about. He also often talked about his alleged  
22 relationships with celebrities. He liked that my father Ronn Owens is a famous local talk show host and  
23 was excited at the prospect of meeting celebrities.

24 5. In or around late May or early June 2016, I was asleep when I was suddenly struck in the  
25 face by Mr. Marraccini. I don't know exactly how he hit me; I think either his elbow or fist hit me near  
26 my eye. I can't say for sure, but I believe he may have been asleep and involuntarily hit me somehow.  
27 I had a black eye for a day or two after this. (One of his friends told me that one of his ex-girlfriends  
28 also woke up with a black eye because Mr. Marraccini "accidentally" hit her while he was asleep).

> YOU MIGHT ALSO LIKE

**What Does “Justice For Clayton” Look Like? Let me tell you....**  
(<https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you/>)

🕒 April 16, 2024

**A CDA Expert’s Response To A Novice’s Anti-CDA Article**  
(<https://gingraslaw.com/a-cda-experts-response-to-a-novices-anti-cda-article/>)

🕒 March 6, 2019



(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-clear-a-few-things-up-the-bachelor-update-4-4-24/>)

**Let’s Clear A Few Things Up; The Bachelor Update 4/4/24**

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-clear-a-few-things-up-the-bachelor-update-4-4-24/>)

🕒 April 4, 2024

> THIS POST HAS 18 COMMENTS



**Not Ugly (<http://Youlose.com>)**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4573#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4573#respond)

Mike is way too good looking for her horse face.  
That’s all. Also, he never abused her, he just didn’t want to date her because she ugly.



**Lauren**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4578#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4578#respond)

Please don’t insult her appearance. First of all, she’s really not unattractive. You’re just saying that to be mean. Second, it does nothing to help

Clayton's case. I find all the filings and discussion interesting, which is why I read here. I don't believe Laura was pregnant either (just my speculative personal opinion based on publicly available filings), but it takes a tremendously low amount of self-restraint to still be a decent human being and not hurl unnecessary insults at her (specifically on a blog she is most likely reading). Going out of your way to hurt her will not help you. You can do better than this.




## Bystander

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4574#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4574#respond)

I'm embarrassed for you, David. You took on the case of someone that nobody believes, claimed that you would drop her as a client if you found out she lied, and yet you keep digging yourself into an even deeper hole. You know that she lied. In fact, you say 'so what if she lied'. You keep finding the most minute technicalities in the legal filings to attempt to have things thrown out to procure a win for yourself, as if there's any honor to be had in winning that way. You KNOW that she lied. But now you're in too deep, and you keep lining your pockets while draining Clayton's AND Laura's. You don't care about the truth, you care about winning. And that is the dirtiest, scummiest thing that a defense attorney can do. Do you have no shame? You KNOW this woman was not pregnant and that she attempted to extort Clayton. I hope that one day you feel guilt and shame that is palpable, that it all presses down heavily on your conscience. You will never be seen as a hero in this; only an slimy, immoral embarrassment to the justice system. Shame on you for not giving your client what she actually needs, which is to stop



indulging in this ridiculous fantasy that she, and she alone, created. She needs help, not for someone to encourage her to continue a losing battle. How long will you allow your integrity to be overshadowed by your refusal to accept that you were wrong?



**Beth**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4575#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4575#respond)

David, I keep forgetting to ask: to win the biggest defamation case in AZ history, wouldn't Laura have to prove very high financial damages? What are the ways Laura is experiencing financial loss as a result of the defamation you are alleging? Thanks for your correspondence!



**Bobby**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4576#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4576#respond)

So David, I can't testify that the mailman delivered the mail if I didn't see them? Even if there was no mail in the mailbox this morning but there was mail in box when I got home, and a mail truck parked on my street? Serious question.



**Sarah**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4577#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4577#respond)

When are you going to address her lying a little having ovarian cancer?



**Lonni**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4579#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4579#respond)

I am confused, Lauras critics are going to face defamation? So you can't question, have an opinion, or be a critic of this apparently very entitled person without facing a lawsuit? Hmmm, never knew someone was so special.



**David Gingras (<https://gingraslaw.com>)**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4581#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4581#respond)

You can absolutely have an opinion. That is 100% protected by the First Amendment. Knowingly spreading false information is not protected, and that is what a LOT of people have done while talking about this case. It's fine though. They will have their day in court and I'm sure "I saw it all on Reddit" will be a strong defense with the jury.



**Free Speech on Social Media: The Complete Guide**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4584#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4584#respond)

Now now Gingras, don't be a hypocrite, you defended Dirty.com. Your words "It was clear to me Dr. Phil did not understand the legal situation (under the law, website owners like Nik are not liable for what people post on their sites, just like Mark Zuckerberg is not liable for what you post on Facebook). And although the law was 100% on our side, Dr. Phil didn't see it that way." So you should know that social media platforms are private

companies and are not bound by the First Amendment. They have their own First Amendment rights. This means they can moderate the content people post on their websites without violating those users' First Amendment rights. It also means that the government cannot tell social media sites how to moderate content. WITH THAT BEING SAID, No one is posting false information, Gingras. The journalists and other professional forums have put in the work and time to narrow down every lie your client has made by her statement photoshopped documents and lies on top of lies to show that you and your client are liars.



## Lying Liars

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY](#)

[HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4587#RESPOND](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4587#respond)

THIS!!! love how he hasn't answered to any of the comments PROVING she is lying



## Lonni

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4589#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4589#respond)

Name one false information that has been spread that you choose to follow? I will start simply, are you going after Megan Fox, Legal Vices, or Mike Gravlin? Will you go after an actual attorney, real journalist or just random online followers who have an opinion? I only say this because Gravlin laughed your client off online like an insect flicked to the side.. Show some balls and go after them all!

Gravlin made your client look so dumb it changed this case. Are you afraid to face off with him? "Who's your daddy" videos brought most the interest to this case. Why are you not after him?



## Make it Stop

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4580#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4580#respond)

You're taking her word (a known, documented, pathological liar) over contradicting testimony by someone with several corroborating witnesses. And you are the only one that believes her (if you really even do). Her documentation in this case is glaringly forged, like all the others.

Clayton is her fourth known victim, whom she diabolically and fraudulently sues after being romantically rejected. You're enabling this abusive (and criminal) behavior. I believe you're her fifth lawyer on this particular case, because all the others swiftly quit when they got up to speed on the case and surely realized she was perpetrating a scam. You must like the attention that comes with this high profile case, and have no scruples as to whether or not it's ethical to continue to empower a sadistic malefactor destroying those that spurned her attention. The moral choice would be to withdraw, like all the others before you, and counsel her to seek additional psychiatric treatment.



## Trisha D

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4582#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4582#respond)

Dude.... The FAT Lady has sung!! You are NOT helping Laura in any way, shape or form by pretending an attorney is where her money is best spent at this late

stage in the game. It's very sad to me that you continue to gaslight everyone into believing you believe the "inconsistencies" after years and years of the same behavior. This is the very definition of insanity- continuing the same behavior over and over and expecting a different outcome. I'll give you the benefit of the doubt for arguments sake today and pretend everything you've presented is true, and in both cases true or false, common sense needs to enter this scenario and understand she needs a mental health provider, not an attorney !!! This is becoming too uncomfortable for me as a bystander watching this ten car pile up in real time. It's wrong and it's very sad watching you take advantage of this case. At this juncture you are looking worse than your client. Stop, get her some real help, then you'll be the hero this case deserves. I fear if everyone continues to perpetuate this behavior, good or bad, we will all be witnessing a tragedy we will have to live with. This is not okay! Do the right thing and get real help before you're the cause of a bigger tragedy than pregnancies, abortions and break ups. I will pray for your client, you and all the victims in this case.



**Nunya**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4583#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4583#respond)

Your threats of "dEfAmAtiOn" are lame, at best. Your client is a pathological liar who will soon be held accountable for altering medical documents and theft of ultrasound pictures from a grieving mother's blog. What a disgusting human- both of you.



**Sarah**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4585#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4585#respond)

Who told her she was having male and female twins? Which provider at which appointment? And once again, as long as we're talking lies, why did she lie about having ovarian cancer and an oophorectomy?



### fake babies

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4588#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4588#respond)

i'm sure it will come out that she doctored the oophorectomy and ovarian cancer documents just like she did the sonograms.



### Laura's new victim

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4586#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4586#respond)

david, what is your opinion of Ron Owens admitting laura makes up stories and lies? that is documented in the text messages from Mike and Mr Owens & Laura and Laura admitted it herself. Also, mike said in his testimony that he never called her his "sugar mama" .



### Lonni

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4590#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4590#respond)

Seems like you only want to tango with an audience rather than real lawyers. Where are you lawsuits against Mike Gravlin, Legal Vices, or Negan Fox? Not even a message to cease! Are you afraid to go after the big dogs?

## Leave a Reply

Your comment here...

Name (required)

Email (required)

Website

POST COMMENT

### ■ About Gingras Law


David Gingras is a solo Internet and First Amendment attorney based in Phoenix, Arizona.

[ABOUT \(/PRACTICE-AREAS\)](#)

[CONNECT \(/CONTACT-2\)](#)

EXHIBIT 327





7 May 2024

Gregg Woodnick  
WOODNICK LAW, PLLC  
1747 E. Morten Ave., Suite 205  
Phoenix, AZ 85020

Ref: BCF1237 (Michael Marraccini)

Dear Gregg,

Attached is my report of findings in this case.

Let me know if you have questions.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jon A. Berryhill". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "J".

Jon A. Berryhill  
President & COO  
Berryhill Computer Forensics, Inc

Phone – 707-745-1405  
Toll Free – 888-745-1405  
www.computerforensics.com  
info@computerforensics.com  
P.O. Box 1674  
Benicia, CA 94510

CE0602

**Background**

I, Jon A. Berryhill, declare:

I am President and COO of Berryhill Computer Forensics Inc., a firm which specializes in the collection, preservation, analysis and presentation of digital evidence, including computer hard drives, flash media, smart phones and other digital data. Our methods are court proven and ensure the integrity of the evidence and the chain of custody. The facts set forth in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto.

I hold a Bachelor of Science degree from Lamar University in Engineering Computer Science and a Master of Science degree from the American Public University System with a concentration in Digital Forensics. I received training in computer evidence handling, analysis and special investigations in the Air Force Special Investigations Academy, and I have been working in the field of computer forensic investigations for more than twenty-nine years. I have led computer forensic investigations for law firms, major corporations and small businesses, as well as for the United States Air Force (USAF). As a Major in the USAF, I was a Special Agent in the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, investigating crimes such as central systems fraud, homicide, theft, child pornography, and counterintelligence. I have also worked extensively with the California Department of Justice Advanced Training Center, serving as an instructor, curriculum developer, and teaching certified computer crime investigations courses. I have testified 55 times as an expert in computer forensics in the Superior Courts of the State of California, other state courts, United States District Courts, and in military courts in both civil and criminal cases. Additionally, I have provided 33 expert depositions.

Since being in private practice, I have conducted examinations of computer hard drives and other devices containing private and confidential information in more than 1230 cases in which I was entrusted with individual's personal data, corporate internal data and communications, financial data, privileged attorney-client information, trade secret information, patent and pending patent applications and confidential research and development information. These cases have included a broad spectrum of issues and parties, ranging from individuals involved in personal and financial disputes to multi-million-dollar corporate litigation.

My experience in conducting computer forensic analysis has included the analysis of more than 3490 computer hard drives and more than 6900 floppy disks, tapes, CD-ROMs, smart phones and other digital media. Since being in private practice, I have provided computer forensic analysis and support to many local, state and federal law enforcement agencies in their criminal and civil investigations. These have included the California Employment Development Department, California Office of Real Estate Appraisers, California Department of Insurance, California Highway Patrol, California Attorney General's Office, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms, Immigration and Naturalization Service Investigations Division, Palo Alto Police Department, San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, Half Moon Bay Police Department, the Santa Barbara Police Department and many state and federal public defender offices.

## **Background**

This office was contacted by Michael Marraccini who requested that his personal computer be processed as evidence pertaining to ongoing litigation for which he is a witness. Specifically, he requested text messages and related attachments to/from Laura Owens using the phone number 415-810-0604 be extracted, documented and analyzed for any evidence of tampering.

## **Evidence**

On 4 May 2024, the computer designated evidence item BCF1237, an Apple MacBook Air model A1466, s/n C02LR8RKF5V8 was hand delivered by Michael Marraccini to the BCF office.

The computer was be released to Michael Marraccini on 6 May 2024 with the recommendation that it remain out of service and securely stored until the conclusion of any pending or potential litigation.

## **Analysis and Process**

Full forensic image copy was created using Cellebrite Digital Collector version 3.7. A full acquisition log is maintained in the BCF files. The forensic image copy will be maintained in BCF records for a minimum of one year unless the client specifically requests otherwise.

It was noted during the forensic imaging process that the computer's internal clock read 3-9-2017 16:22 PST when actual date was 5-4-2024 15:34 PST. This is an indicator that the computer has not been in regular service for some time. The Apple OSX has default settings to keep the internal clock and calendar updated when the machine is connected to the Internet.

## **Findings**

All text messages and associated attachments from/to Laura Owens (415-810-0604) were tagged and produced in a standard Cellebrite Inspector report. The report was produced as a single 2,489 page PDF document with links to the associated attachments. The report is identified with the date/time marker 2024-05-04 21-35-57. This report and attachment file structure was provided to Mr. Marraccini and Mr. Woodnick via a Dropbox link. Note that all times referenced in the report are in UTC. For the items of interest in the August-September 2016 time frame, to convert to PST, subtract 7 hours from UTC.

I have examined the file structure and continuity of the text message streams and associated attachments found on this computer. I find no evidence of tempering or alterations that would question the authenticity of the messages included in my report.

I was asked to address the allegation that Mr. Marraccini fabricated evidence in the matter. In reviewing the material one of the items of interest is a photo of a paper report from Planned Parenthood referencing Laura Owens' visit on 8/10/2016. This photo was attached to a text

message from 415-810-0604 on 8-12-2016 13:07:28 PST to Mr. Marraccini (see page 653 of 2489 of the BCF Cellebrite PDF report). The internal metadata of this photo shows it was taken 8-10-2016 with an iPhone 6. The location tracking (GPS) was turned off for this photo. Analysis of other photos found on the evidence computer show photos that I believe were taken by Mr. Marraccini around the same date. Those photos were taken with an iPhone 6s. This is one of many examples that refute any allegations of fabrication. Similarly, there are creation dates on the screen shots (PNG files) from around the August-September 2016 time frame that show the screen shots were captured prior to when they were attached to their various text messages. If requested I can extract all the metadata for all the associated pictures and screen shots.


I have confirmed with Mr. Marraccini by an examination of several photos that he confirmed he took with his phone in the months both before and after August 2016, that his phone at that time was an iPhone 6s.


As a further examples of the continuity and authentication of the presented evidence, I have extracted the metadata associated with the seven text message attachments listed below. The items listed show the page number from the provided PDF report, attachment file name and the date/time of the text message. Each of these are messages from 415-810-0604 (Laura Owens) to Mr. Marraccini. In each case the internal metadata for the attached image shows it was created just minutes before each text message was sent. I have also examined a sampling of other attachments to text messages on other dates from Laura to Mr. Marraccini. In no case did I find any evidence that contradicts this narrative.

670 – IMG_7692.png	8-13-2016 03:50 UTC
671 – IMG_7694.png	8-18-2016 04:18 UTC
671 – IMG_7695.png	8-18-2016 04:18 UTC
673 – IMG_7698.png	8-18-2016 04:26 UTC
675 – IMG_3477.png	8-18-2016 04:28 UTC
679 – IMG_7704.png	8-18-2016 04:35 UTC
682 – FullSizeRender-1.jpg	8-18-2016 14:15 UTC

I have found no evidence of tampering in any material related to this case (or otherwise) and believe the text messages as shown in the Cellebrite PDF report accurately depict the text communications between Mr. Marraccini and Laura Owens on the dates and times indicated.

EXHIBIT 93

 <https://twitter.com/DavidSGingras>

 <https://www.linkedin.com/in/david-gingras-165b683/>

[Gingras Law Office, PLLC \(https://gingraslaw.com/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/)

[Practice Areas \(https://gingraslaw.com/practice-areas/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/practice-areas/)

[Blog \(https://gingraslaw.com/blog/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/blog/)

[🏠 \(https://gingraslaw.com\)](https://gingraslaw.com/) > [Internet Law \(https://gingraslaw.com/internet-law/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/internet-law/)

[Connect \(https://gingraslaw.com/contact-2/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/contact-2/)

Blog

But this problem is easily fixed. Just like this (before asking anything about the weather): “Mr. Witness, were you physically present in the State of Hawaii on December 25, 2023, and were you in a position to SEE the weather conditions on that date?”

Assuming the witness says yes, you *then* ask if they saw any snow in Hawaii on that date. With that simple intro, you satisfied the requirements of Rule 602 by proving the witness was in a position to see the weather in Hawaii. You answered the question: how *do you know* what the weather was like in Hawaii? This quick little bit of foundation shows the witness has personal knowledge of the weather conditions in Hawaii on the date in question.

I know that’s boring and technical, but trust me – IT MATTERS. Again, this is basic Law School 101 level stuff, but if a witness can’t show they have personal knowledge of a THING, that witness will NOT be allowed to testify about that THING. PERIOD. I have literally won entire cases based on that one simple rule.


As the example shows, establishing personal knowledge is usually not a big deal. If you want to ask a witness about Topic X, before you dive into that topic, you just need to lay some foundation showing the witness HAS personal knowledge of Topic X. It’s easy (assuming the witness knows what they are talking about), but most non-lawyers would *never* think about this.


OK, with that boring intro behind us, let’s get back to the story of Mike Marraccini, and why his deposition transcript is so completely devastating for the anti-Laura crew. The full transcript is at the end of this post, but I’ll give you a summary.


Mike and Laura met through an online dating app in early 2016 (he talks about this on page 27 of his depo, and he’s not 100% sure of the date, or which app...but neither of those points are important). At that time, both Mike and Laura were living in San Francisco. Laura has told me she and Mike dated for “a couple years”, but the exact start and end dates aren’t clear from the records I’ve seen. For now, just assume this relationship lasted for at least a year, probably a while longer (basically from early 2016 through late 2017).

# Let's Talk About Lies – Part 2

 [David Gingras \(https://gingraslaw.com/author/gingraslaw/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/author/gingraslaw/) -

 May 2, 2024 -

 [Internet Law \(https://gingraslaw.com/category/internet-law/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/category/internet-law/) / [Lawsuits \(https://gingraslaw.com/category/lawsuits/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/category/lawsuits/) -

 [18 Comments \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comments\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comments)

A while ago, I wrote a [post with bullet points \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/) that Laura's critics have passed off as truth. The third point on that list was:

*3.) Clayton says Laura has "done this to other men"*

I'm going to skip Point 2 for now, and let's talk about Point 3 — "Laura has done this to other men". Sounds bad, right? But is it true? Let's talk about that....

One of the "other men" frequently discussed is a guy name Michael Marraccini (she calls him "Mike", so I'll use that for now). If you have followed the story, you will know the Cult claims Laura faked being pregnant with Mike, and every time that story is repeated, it's spoken about as if this is a statement of true facts. LAURA LIED ABOUT MIKE'S BABY! But is it true?

Before I get into the details, there is something VERY important you need to understand. Have you ever seen a TV show or a movie about a court case, and one of the lawyers jumps up and shouts: "*Objection! Foundation!*" Do you know what this means?

I'll explain. When a lawyer objects to foundation (or lack of foundation), that's our shorthand way of saying we don't believe the witness has been shown to have something called "*personal knowledge*". OKAY, what's so special about personal knowledge?

Search

## Recent Posts

[Let's Talk About Lies – Part 2 \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/)

[Let's Talk About Lies – Part 1 \(https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-1/)

[What The Hell Is A "Vanishing Twin"? \(https://gingraslaw.com/what-the-hell-is-a-vanishing-twin/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/what-the-hell-is-a-vanishing-twin/)

[What Does "Justice For Clayton" Look Like? Let me tell you... \(https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you...)

[My Guest Appearance On The Bachelor, I Mean Dr. Phil \(https://gingraslaw.com/my-guest-appearance-on-the-bachelor-i-mean-dr-phil/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/my-guest-appearance-on-the-bachelor-i-mean-dr-phil/)

## Archives

[May 2024 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/)

[April 2024 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/)

[October 2023 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2023/10/\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2023/10/)

[August 2023 \(https://gingraslaw.com/2023\)](https://gingraslaw.com/2023)



This is Law School 101 stuff, but basically the Rules of Evidence say a witness can ONLY testify about things IF that person first shows they have “personal knowledge” of the subject matter they want to discuss. This comes straight from Rule 602 of the Rules of Evidence

([\(https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?](https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default))

[viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/azrules/Document/N478828A0E7D911E0B453835EEBAB0BCD?viewType=FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Default))) (that link is for the AZ rules, but the federal rules are identical).

### **Rule 602. Need for Personal Knowledge**

*A witness may testify to a matter only if evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that **the witness has personal knowledge of the matter.***

*Evidence to prove personal knowledge may consist of the witness’s own testimony. This rule does not apply to a witness’s expert testimony under Rule 703.*

That text is pretty dry, so let me paraphrase — witnesses aren’t allowed to blow smoke out of their ass. If a witness wants to say something is true, they first have to answer ONE question: **how do you know that?**

Here’s an example of how this works in practice. Let’s say you are involved in a case and you want to prove it snowed in Hawaii on December 25, 2023. So, you call a witness to the stand and ask them: “Witness, did it snow in Hawaii on December 25, 2023?”

If this happened in court, your opposing counsel would immediately object. Why? Lack of foundation.

This is how a lawyer tells the judge you haven’t met the requirements of Rule 602 because you have not shown the witness has personal knowledge of this issue. You haven’t explained, *how this person knows that?*

[/08/](#)

March 2019

(<https://gingraslaw.com/2019/03/>)

#### Recent Comments

Lonni on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4590>)

Lonni on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4589>)

fake babies on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4588>)

Lying Liars on [Let’s Talk About Lies – Part 2](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/#comment-4587>)

MAY 2024

M	T	W	T	F
			<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
		1		( <a href="https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/02/">https://gingraslaw.com/2024/05/02/</a> )
6	7	8	9	10
13	14	15	16	17
20	21	22	23	24
27	28	29	30	31


« [Apr](#)

(<https://gingraslaw.com/2024/04/>)

In mid-2016 Laura got pregnant. This was a medically-confirmed pregnancy with multiple records to support it, including HCG tests and an ultrasound. At the time, Laura and Mike were both in their mid-20s. They both felt they were too young to have kids, so they decided abortion was the best option.

Laura went to Planned Parenthood in July 2016 where she was given Mifepristone (a pill to medically terminate the pregnancy). Unfortunately, the first pill didn't work (not unusual), and Laura continued to test positive for pregnancy. This resulted in her going back to Planned Parenthood a few weeks later (with Mike). Again, plenty of records exist to support all of this.

According to Laura, this was NOT an issue of her "getting pregnant twice" (and certainly not faking pregnancy twice). She got pregnant with Mike ONCE, and it took a couple of doctor's visits to terminate the pregnancy. Mike participated in all this, and was fully aware that Laura WAS pregnant, and they jointly made the decision to terminate it. Here's a Planned Parenthood record showing the follow-up trip, and Laura also discusses this at length in a declaration I'm adding to the end of this post. Importantly, Laura's declaration was written back in 2018, LONG before this whole mess with Clayton ever happened.



Unlike Clayton, the pregnancy and abortion was NOT the end of Laura's relationship with Mike. They continued dating for many more months, and yes Laura will admit she struggled with some emotional issues during that time. That's hardly unusual, especially when you understand how Mike treated her.

During their relationship, Laura was extremely generous with Mike. She paid to take him to Dubai. She bought him expensive gifts including a \$10,000 watch. She claims Mike even called her his "sugar mama".

On December 30, 2016, Laura paid for a trip to Iceland with Mike. According to Laura's declaration (at the end of this post): "The [Iceland] trip cost at least \$15,000, and I emptied my childhood savings account to pay for it."

## Laura & Mike in Iceland



While Laura and Mike may have looked like a happy couple on the outside, according to Laura, Mike had a very dark and abusive side. In her declaration filed in California back in 2018, Laura described the verbal abuse she received from Mike on the flight back from Iceland. This abuse was witnessed by a fellow passenger (a complete stranger) who later confirmed Laura's version of what occurred:

9           21.    During the flight from San Francisco to Reykjavik, Iceland, Mr. Marraccini berated me  
10 nonstop for hours. He criticized me for nearly everything I said or did. If I turned my head to look at  
11 him when he said something, he'd criticize me for turning too quickly, telling me that my reflexes were  
12 "unnaturally fast" and that there was something wrong with me. He criticized my career and told me  
13 I was bad at my job and was worthless. He told me I was "ugly" and that nobody else would ever want  
14 to date me. When I would try to kiss him and cheer him up, he'd tell me I was "gross" and a bad kisser.  
15 He said I was bad in bed. Then he said he wanted to have a threesome since sex with me was so boring.  
16 He suggested a threesome with my sister or with a black man. He said it would turn him on to watch  
17 someone else have sex with me. I told him no and that I felt uncomfortable. He seemed to enjoy putting  
18 me down. His criticisms went on for hours, and I cried on and off throughout the entire flight.

After Iceland, according to Laura, things went from bad to worse. According to her sworn declaration filed in court in California, Mike began physically assaulting her, including “strangling” her during sex and verbally abusing her.

12 31. I had hoped the abuse would stop once we came home, but it didn't. Mr. Marraccini  
13 became even *more* aggressive after he lost his job. Every time we had sex, he strangled me. This  
14 happened 4-5 times per week and at least 100 times total. He also frequently smacked my bare butt with  
15 his open hand, sometimes more than 50 times in a row. Sometimes he would tell me to take an Ambien  
16 (a sleeping pill, which I had been recently prescribed) before sex so that it would be easier for him to  
17 have his way with me. Sex with Mr. Marraccini felt like abuse, not loving and intimate. I often cried  
18 while he had sex with me. After he would finish having sex with me, I would tell him that he hurt me  
19 and ask him to stop strangling me. Sometimes he apologized and said that he “just lost control.” He'd  
20 swear he was going to work on himself and promised to stop. Other times, he'd brush it off and act like  
21 I enjoyed it (even though I repeatedly told him I did not). On numerous occasions, he told me the control  
22 was a turn-on for him and that he needed it since sex with me was “too boring” or “too vanilla.”

Laura eventually ended the relationship with Mike in late 2017. She claims he began stalking her as a result. Fearful for her safety, in January 2018, Laura applied for a restraining order against Mike. Here's a complete copy of the file from that case (<https://gingraslaw.com/MarracciniDV.pdf>).

Now having said all this, you may be asking yourself — “Hang on, so Laura claims Mike was an abusive boyfriend. So what? You haven't explained why any of this ‘guarantees’ a win for Laura.” And that's right, I haven't explained it yet, so I'll do that right now.

At the start of this post, I explained the concept (and the rule) which requires proof a witness has personal knowledge of something before they are allowed to testify about anything. Remember that?

Now, keeping the concept of “personal knowledge” in mind, go back and read through Mike's deposition. Show me a SINGLE example of him offering any explanation to show how he has personal knowledge of Laura faking being pregnant. YOU CAN'T, BECAUSE IT IS NOT THERE. And FYI – personal knowledge means PERSONAL knowledge. Hearing something from a 3rd party is hearsay, not personal knowledge.

Look specifically at his discussion of the pregnancy between pages 45-47 of the depo transcript. As you read this, try to ask yourself: "OKAY, the witness is saying he doesn't **think** Laura was pregnant, **BUT HOW DOES HE KNOW THAT? How does he personally know she was not pregnant?**" Remember, a witness can't say it snowed in Hawaii on Christmas 2023 unless they first prove they were in Hawaii on that date, so again, ask yourself what proof does Marraccini offer to show he personally KNEW Laura lied about being pregnant?

Mike is very clear about how he knows that — HE DOES NOT KNOW THAT. He offers nothing but pure speculation. None of this even comes close to clearing the hurdle of personal knowledge. In fact, he even says (repeatedly) he believes she probably WAS pregnant, "the first time". But he apparently forgot the "first time" was, in fact, **the ONLY time**. Maybe he has memory or mental issues, but the records on this are clear — Laura was only pregnant ONCE with Mike, but she had to go back a couple of times after the pills didn't work the first time. That's probably why Mike thinks it was two pregnancies. It wasn't. It was just one, and in his own words, Mike admits he thought she probably was pregnant. OOPS.

Folks, it doesn't get much clearer than that. Mike doesn't have personal knowledge of ANYTHING regarding Laura "faking" a pregnancy. If he tried to say that at trial in our case, I'd object to a lack of foundation and, separately, that he's just speculating about this. If Mike suddenly develops a shocking new level of clarity about this, I hope he can explain why he answered differently in his deposition SIX YEARS AGO.

NOTE – Laura informs me Mike's story about her father somehow "admitting" Laura lied about being pregnant is also 100% false. I haven't met Laura's dad (yet), but I'll go ahead and verify his side of things as soon as I can. But if the evidence stays this way moving forward, Laura's critics are going to have a very, very hard time avoiding liability for defamation.

12 Q. So you were -- just so I'm clear, you were aware  
13 that she felt conflicted about terminating that; right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. So Laura -- I'm not clear about -- is it  
16 your position that she wasn't actually pregnant?

17 A. I think -- I don't think she was pregnant two  
18 times. I think one of them was a made up story, and I  
19 think one might have been legitimate.

20 Q. Which one do you think was legitimate?

21 A. The first time.

22 Q. And why do you think she was pregnant the second  
23 time?

24 A. I think she did that to keep me around because I  
25 think she was going through a lot at the time. She said

877.451.1580

MICHAEL MARRACCINI 06/13/2018

www.aikenweich.com

Page 47

1 abortion?

2 A. Maybe on the first go around. I don't know. I  
3 still to this day don't think she was pregnant.

4 Q. So you don't think she was pregnant at all?

5 A. I don't think she was pregnant because of all  
6 the stories. The timelines never made sense. So I don't  
7 -- looking back now -- at the time I definitely thought  
8 she was pregnant. Looking back now, I don't.

9 Q. Okay. So I just want to be a hundred percent  
10 because I thought earlier you said you did think she was  
11 pregnant one of those times. So is your story that you  
12 don't think she was ever pregnant?

13 A. If she was ever pregnant, I believe it was the  
14 first time. But I -- looking back now, I don't know if  
15 she ever was pregnant. So at the time -- I don't know  
16 how to give you a clear answer on this because at the  
17 time I thought she was pregnant both times because I'm  
18 not going to go and study the timelines of pregnancies  
19 and do everything like that. But looking back now, I  
20 think one of the pregnancies or both were made up.

21 Q. Okay.

**In the Matter Of:**

**LAURA OWENS vs MICHAEL MARRACCINI, et al.,**

---

---

**Court Reporters, Videography, Trial Preparation**


**Videoconference Center**

**Oakland • San Francisco • San Jose**

**Sacramento • Irvine • Los Angeles**

**877.451.1580**

**[www.aikenwelch.com](http://www.aikenwelch.com)**





1 Declaration of Laura Owens

2 I, Laura Owens, declare as follows:

3 1. I make all of the statements herein of my own personal knowledge, except as to those  
4 matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true, and if called  
5 as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.

6 HISTORY OF RELATIONSHIP

7 2. Mr. Marraccini and I were romantically involved from March 2016 until late Fall 2017.  
8 Contrary to what he alleges in his declaration filed on January 22, 2018, we did not stop dating in March  
9 2017.

10 3. I met Mr. Marraccini in March 2016 through The League, a dating app for professionals  
11 that matches people together based on their LinkedIn and Facebook profiles. His profile said that he was  
12 in "Real Estate Development" and that he went to California Polytechnic Institute. (See Exhibit 1,  
13 screenshots of Mr. Marraccini's profile from The League taken April 26, 2016 and May 10, 2017). He  
14 asked me out to dinner and I accepted.

15 4. Initially, Mr. Marraccini was very charming. In the early months of our relationship, we  
16 saw each other often and seemed to have a lot in common. Mr. Marraccini showed interest in my career  
17 (I am the CEO of Quartet Farms, a company that buys and sells show horses). He talked about his own  
18 career ambitions and said he was a real estate developer and that he had his real estate license. He also  
19 frequently talked about his many job offers at big developments firms. I wanted to be with someone who  
20 was career oriented like I am and thought we were a good match. We talked about my love for animals  
21 and animal welfare, which he also claimed to care deeply about. He also often talked about his alleged  
22 relationships with celebrities. He liked that my father Ronn Owens is a famous local talk show host and  
23 was excited at the prospect of meeting celebrities.

24 5. In or around late May or early June 2016, I was asleep when I was suddenly struck in the  
25 face by Mr. Marraccini. I don't know exactly how he hit me; I think either his elbow or fist hit me near  
26 my eye. I can't say for sure, but I believe he may have been asleep and involuntarily hit me somehow.  
27 I had a black eye for a day or two after this. (One of his friends told me that one of his ex-girlfriends  
28 also woke up with a black eye because Mr. Marraccini "accidentally" hit her while he was asleep).

> YOU MIGHT ALSO LIKE

**What Does “Justice For Clayton” Look Like? Let me tell you....**  
(<https://gingraslaw.com/what-does-justice-for-clayton-look-like-let-me-tell-you/>)

🕒 April 16, 2024

**A CDA Expert’s Response To A Novice’s Anti-CDA Article**  
(<https://gingraslaw.com/a-cda-experts-response-to-a-novices-anti-cda-article/>)

🕒 March 6, 2019



(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-clear-a-few-things-up-the-bachelor-update-4-4-24/>)

**Let’s Clear A Few Things Up; The Bachelor Update 4/4/24**  
(<https://gingraslaw.com/lets-clear-a-few-things-up-the-bachelor-update-4-4-24/>)

🕒 April 4, 2024

> THIS POST HAS 18 COMMENTS



**Not Ugly (<http://Youlose.com>)**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4573#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4573#respond)

Mike is way too good looking for her horse face.  
That’s all. Also, he never abused her, he just didn’t want to date her because she ugly.



**Lauren**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4578#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4578#respond)

Please don’t insult her appearance. First of all, she’s really not unattractive. You’re just saying that to be mean. Second, it does nothing to help

Clayton's case. I find all the filings and discussion interesting, which is why I read here. I don't believe Laura was pregnant either (just my speculative personal opinion based on publicly available filings), but it takes a tremendously low amount of self-restraint to still be a decent human being and not hurl unnecessary insults at her (specifically on a blog she is most likely reading). Going out of your way to hurt her will not help you. You can do better than this.



## Bystander

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4574#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4574#respond)

I'm embarrassed for you, David. You took on the case of someone that nobody believes, claimed that you would drop her as a client if you found out she lied, and yet you keep digging yourself into an even deeper hole. You know that she lied. In fact, you say 'so what if she lied'. You keep finding the most minute technicalities in the legal filings to attempt to have things thrown out to procure a win for yourself, as if there's any honor to be had in winning that way. You KNOW that she lied. But now you're in too deep, and you keep lining your pockets while draining Clayton's AND Laura's. You don't care about the truth, you care about winning. And that is the dirtiest, scummiest thing that a defense attorney can do. Do you have no shame? You KNOW this woman was not pregnant and that she attempted to extort Clayton. I hope that one day you feel guilt and shame that is palpable, that it all presses down heavily on your conscience. You will never be seen as a hero in this; only an slimy, immoral embarrassment to the justice system. Shame on you for not giving your client what she actually needs, which is to stop

indulging in this ridiculous fantasy that she, and she alone, created. She needs help, not for someone to encourage her to continue a losing battle. How long will you allow your integrity to be overshadowed by your refusal to accept that you were wrong?



**Beth**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4575#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4575#respond)

David, I keep forgetting to ask: to win the biggest defamation case in AZ history, wouldn't Laura have to prove very high financial damages? What are the ways Laura is experiencing financial loss as a result of the defamation you are alleging? Thanks for your correspondence!



**Bobby**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4576#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4576#respond)

So David, I can't testify that the mailman delivered the mail if I didn't see them? Even if there was no mail in the mailbox this morning but there was mail in box when I got home, and a mail truck parked on my street? Serious question.



**Sarah**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4577#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4577#respond)

When are you going to address her lying a little having ovarian cancer?



**Lonni**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4579#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4579#respond)

I am confused, Lauras critics are going to face defamation? So you can't question, have an opinion, or be a critic of this apparently very entitled person without facing a lawsuit? Hmmm, never knew someone was so special.



**David Gingras (<https://gingraslaw.com>)**

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4581#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4581#respond)

You can absolutely have an opinion. That is 100% protected by the First Amendment. Knowingly spreading false information is not protected, and that is what a LOT of people have done while talking about this case. It's fine though. They will have their day in court and I'm sure "I saw it all on Reddit" will be a strong defense with the jury.



**Free Speech on Social Media: The Complete Guide**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4584#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4584#respond)

Now now Gingras, don't be a hypocrite, you defended Dirty.com. Your words "It was clear to me Dr. Phil did not understand the legal situation (under the law, website owners like Nik are not liable for what people post on their sites, just like Mark Zuckerberg is not liable for what you post on Facebook). And although the law was 100% on our side, Dr. Phil didn't see it that way." So you should know that social media platforms are private

companies and are not bound by the First Amendment. They have their own First Amendment rights. This means they can moderate the content people post on their websites without violating those users' First Amendment rights. It also means that the government cannot tell social media sites how to moderate content. WITH THAT BEING SAID, No one is posting false information, Gingras. The journalists and other professional forums have put in the work and time to narrow down every lie your client has made by her statement photoshopped documents and lies on top of lies to show that you and your client are liars.



**Lying Liars**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY](#)

[HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4587#RESPOND](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4587#respond)

THIS!!! love how he hasn't answered to any of the comments PROVING she is lying



**Lonni**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4589#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4589#respond)

Name one false information that has been spread that you choose to follow? I will start simply, are you going after Megan Fox, Legal Vices, or Mike Gravelin? Will you go after an actual attorney, real journalist or just random online followers who have an opinion? I only say this because Gravelin laughed your client off online like an insect flicked to the side.. Show some balls and go after them all!

Gravlin made your client look so dumb it changed this case. Are you afraid to face off with him? "Who's your daddy" videos brought most the interest to this case. Why are you not after him?



## Make it Stop

MAY 2, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4580#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4580#respond)

You're taking her word (a known, documented, pathological liar) over contradicting testimony by someone with several corroborating witnesses. And you are the only one that believes her (if you really even do). Her documentation in this case is glaringly forged, like all the others.

Clayton is her fourth known victim, whom she diabolically and fraudulently sues after being romantically rejected. You're enabling this abusive (and criminal) behavior. I believe you're her fifth lawyer on this particular case, because all the others swiftly quit when they got up to speed on the case and surely realized she was perpetrating a scam. You must like the attention that comes with this high profile case, and have no scruples as to whether or not it's ethical to continue to empower a sadistic malefactor destroying those that spurned her attention. The moral choice would be to withdraw, like all the others before you, and counsel her to seek additional psychiatric treatment.



## Trisha D

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4582#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4582#respond)

Dude.... The FAT Lady has sung!! You are NOT helping Laura in any way, shape or form by pretending an attorney is where her money is best spent at this late

stage in the game. It's very sad to me that you continue to gaslight everyone into believing you believe the "inconsistencies" after years and years of the same behavior. This is the very definition of insanity- continuing the same behavior over and over and expecting a different outcome. I'll give you the benefit of the doubt for arguments sake today and pretend everything you've presented is true, and in both cases true or false, common sense needs to enter this scenario and understand she needs a mental health provider, not an attorney !!! This is becoming too uncomfortable for me as a bystander watching this ten car pile up in real time. It's wrong and it's very sad watching you take advantage of this case. At this juncture you are looking worse than your client. Stop, get her some real help, then you'll be the hero this case deserves. I fear if everyone continues to perpetuate this behavior, good or bad, we will all be witnessing a tragedy we will have to live with. This is not okay! Do the right thing and get real help before you're the cause of a bigger tragedy than pregnancies, abortions and break ups. I will pray for your client, you and all the victims in this case.



**Nunya**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4583#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4583#respond)

Your threats of "dEfAmAtiOn" are lame, at best. Your client is a pathological liar who will soon be held accountable for altering medical documents and theft of ultrasound pictures from a grieving mother's blog. What a disgusting human- both of you.



**Sarah**

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4585#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4585#respond)



Who told her she was having male and female twins? Which provider at which appointment? And once again, as long as we're talking lies, why did she lie about having ovarian cancer and an oophorectomy?



### fake babies

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4588#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4588#respond)

i'm sure it will come out that she doctored the oophorectomy and ovarian cancer documents just like she did the sonograms.



### Laura's new victim

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4586#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4586#respond)

david, what is your opinion of Ron Owens admitting laura makes up stories and lies? that is documented in the text messages from Mike and Mr Owens & Laura and Laura admitted it herself. Also, mike said in his testimony that he never called her his "sugar mama" .



### Lonni

MAY 3, 2024 [REPLY \(HTTPS://GINGRASLAW.COM/LETS-TALK-ABOUT-LIES-PART-2/?REPLYTOCOM=4590#RESPOND\)](https://gingraslaw.com/lets-talk-about-lies-part-2/?replytocom=4590#respond)

Seems like you only want to tango with an audience rather than real lawyers. Where are you lawsuits against Mike Gravlin, Legal Vices, or Negan Fox? Not even a message to cease! Are you afraid to go after the big dogs?

## Leave a Reply

Your comment here...

Name (required)

Email (required)

Website

POST COMMENT

### ■ About Gingras Law

David Gingras is a solo Internet and First Amendment attorney based in Phoenix, Arizona.

[ABOUT \(/PRACTICE-AREAS\)](#)

[CONNECT \(/CONTACT-2\)](#)